# Factors associated with exclusive breast-feeding and breast-feeding in Norway

Anne Lene Kristiansen<sup>1,\*</sup>, Britt Lande<sup>2</sup>, Nina Cecilie Øverby<sup>3</sup> and Lene Frost Andersen<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Nutrition, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Oslo, PO Box 1046, Blindern, 0316 Oslo, Norway: <sup>2</sup>Division of Public Health, Norwegian Directorate of Health, Oslo, Norway: <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Health and Sport, University of Agder, Kristiansand, Norway

# Submitted 13 January 2010: Accepted 21 June 2010: First published online 16 August 2010

# Abstract

*Objective:* To identify factors associated with exclusive breast-feeding and breast-feeding during the first year of life among Norwegian infants.

*Design:* Data on breast-feeding practices were collected by a semi-quantitative FFQ. *Setting:* In 2006–2007 about 3000 infants were invited to participate in a population-based prospective cohort study in Norway.

*Subjects:* A total of 1490 mothers/infants participated at both 6 and 12 months of age. *Results:* Exclusive breast-feeding at 4 months was associated with parental education, parity and geographical region, while exclusive breast-feeding at 5.5 months was associated only with maternal age. At both ages, a negative association with exclusive breast-feeding was observed for maternal smoking. Breast-feeding at 6 months was associated with parental education, maternal age and marital status. Breast-feeding at 12 months was associated with maternal education, maternal age and number of children. At both ages, negative associations with breast-feeding were observed for maternal smoking and descending birth weight. At 12 months, a negative association was also observed for having day care by other than the parents.

*Conclusions:* Even though Norway has an extensive and positive breast-feeding tradition and a maternal leave system that supports the possibility to breast-feed, factors like maternal education, maternal age and maternal smoking are strongly associated with duration of exclusive breast-feeding and breast-feeding. Research to better understand the reasons for inequalities in breast-feeding is needed to facilitate the development of more effective breast-feeding promotion strategies. This again may improve compliance with recommendations and reduce inequalities in infant feeding practices.

Keywords Exclusive breast-feeding Breast-feeding Infant feeding practices

Adequate nutrition during infancy and early childhood is essential to ensure growth, health and development of children to reach their full potential. Breast milk strongly contributes to good health and nutrition of infants. Apart from being an excellent nutritional source for the growing child, breast milk is associated with a reduced risk of many diseases in infants and mothers. A recent review by Duijts *et al.*<sup>(1)</sup> points out that a number of studies in industrialised countries suggest that breast-feeding protects infants against overall infections, gastrointestinal and respiratory tract infections. Moreover, Ip *et al.*<sup>(2)</sup> reported long-term benefits of breast-feeding for infants to be reduced risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes in later life, and long-term benefits for breast-feeding mothers to be reduced risk of breast and ovarian cancers.

The initiation and duration of exclusive breast-feeding and breast-feeding are influenced by a number of factors. Although the factors that influence the initiation and duration of breast-feeding in developed countries have been broadly studied<sup>(3–5)</sup>, previous studies in these countries have rarely examined the factors associated with exclusive breast-feeding. Breast-feeding and exclusive breast-feeding are, in general, reported to be associated with maternal age, marital status, parental educational level and smoking<sup>(6–8)</sup>. In addition, breast-feeding duration is found to be associated with a wide range of other factors like social status, insufficient milk supply, parity, maternal work situation, infant health problems as well as health service-related factors<sup>(5,7)</sup>.

Since 2001, the WHO<sup>(9)</sup> has recommended exclusive breast-feeding for the first 6 months of life. Exclusive breast-feeding is defined as feeding the infant only breast milk without any additional food or drink<sup>(10)</sup>. Norwegian health authorities also recommend exclusive breast-feeding for the first 6 months of life and thereafter gradual introduction of appropriate complementary foods with continued breast-feeding<sup>(11)</sup>. Norway has an extensive and positive breast-feeding tradition<sup>(12)</sup> and long paid

maternal leave, which support the possibility of breast-feeding during the first year of life. The parental benefit period is either 46 weeks at 100 % benefit or 56 weeks at 80 % benefit<sup>(13)</sup>.

Based on the data from a large national dietary survey on infant feeding, we have explored factors associated with exclusive breast-feeding and breast-feeding during the first year of life in Norway.

## Methods

# Subjects and design

A nation-wide sample of about 3000 Norwegian infants was established by Statistics Norway. The sample included all infants born in Norway during a 3-week period from 17 April to 8 May in 2006. We assumed that the diet of infants born in April-May was similar to the diet of infants born at other times of the year. The mothers should be born in Norway, Sweden or Denmark. If the child was a twin or a triplet, the parents were asked only to include the oldest. The study had a longitudinal design and was carried out in October-November 2006 and April-May 2007, when the infants were 6 and 12 months of age, respectively. At 6 months, 1986 (67%) mothers/infants participated. Those who gave a written refusal to participate at 6 months were not invited to participate at 12 months. At 12 months, 1635 (57%) mothers/infants participated. In the present study, data from 1490 mothers/infants who participated at both 6 and 12 months of age were used for analysis, resulting in a response rate of 52%.

The Regional Committees for Medical Research Ethics approved the study, and informed consent was obtained from the mother/parents.

The mothers received an invitation and a semi-quantitative FFQ (SFFQ) by mail about 2 weeks before the child turned 6 and 12 months of age. To obtain data on the infant's weight and length, parents were asked to bring the questionnaire to the regular 6- and 12-month check-up at the child's health clinic, and then return the completed questionnaire in a pre-paid envelope. At 6 months, one combined thanks/reminder letter and one reminder letter with the questionnaire enclosed were sent out. At 12 months, mothers were contacted once by telephone and received one reminder with the questionnaire enclosed. At 6 and 12 months, those who returned a completed questionnaire were entered in a lottery of ten cheques of approximately US\$800 each and ten cheques of approximately US\$1600 each.

# The semi-quantitative FFQ

Two SFFQ were designed to describe feeding practices at 6 and 12 months of age, respectively, and also to retrospectively describe feeding practices from birth up to the given age. They were based on the SFFQ used in the first Norwegian national dietary survey among infants in 1998–1999<sup>(14,15)</sup>, and the SFFQ used among 12-montholds had been validated<sup>(16)</sup>. Parents were asked to complete the questionnaires on the day as closely to the child's 6 months or 12 months of age as possible and to describe habitual feeding practices at the given age. Both SFFQ were tested in pilot studies and then revised.

The final SFFQ included forty-two questions at 6 months and fifty-one questions at 12 months. The questions on breast-feeding were related to whether or not the child received breast milk and to the breast milk frequency. Breast milk intake was not quantified. It was also asked when the child stopped receiving breast milk, when it started receiving infant formula/other milk and when the child was introduced to solid or semi-solid foods for the first time. The questions on complementary foods at 6 and 12 months covered approximately fifty and 160 different food items, respectively.

Both SFFQ also provided information on parental educational levels, maternal age, maternal work situation, maternal marital status, maternal smoking and number of children/parity, asthma/allergy in the family, infant gender, infant birth weight, gestational age and day care (only at 12 months).

## Classification of breast-feeding

Based on the WHO definitions on breast-feeding<sup>(10)</sup>, breastfed infants were categorised into exclusively breast-fed and breast-fed. Exclusively breast-fed infants at a given age received only breast milk and had not been introduced to any additional food or drink, not even water, but could have received vitamin–mineral supplements. Breast-fed infants included all infants who received breast milk; both those exclusively breast-fed and those who had been introduced to other drinks than breast milk and/or complementary foods.

#### Data analysis

Multiple logistic regression analysis was applied to study exclusive breast-feeding at every month up to 5.5 months of age and breast-feeding at every month up to 12 months of age, in relation to selected parental and infant characteristics. Furthermore, factors associated with the introduction of solid foods were also studied using multiple logistic regression analysis. Multiple logistic regression analysis was applied to those who had information on all maternal/infant characteristics. Results are presented as adjusted OR with 95% CI. Potential interaction effects were assessed. Statistical significance was tested by the likelihood ratio test. Tests for trends across categories were performed by treating the categories as continuous variables in logistic regression analysis. Maternal and paternal educational levels were coded by eight categories and combined into four categories in the logistic regression analysis: primary and secondary schools, comprehensive school, academy/college/ university of  $\leq 4$  years and academy/college/university of >4 years. Maternal age, reported as a continuous variable, was categorised into three groups:  $\leq 24$ , 25–34 and  $\geq 35$ years. Maternal smoking status in pregnancy was coded as ves, ves but guitted and no. Maternal smoking status when the infant was at 6 months old was coded as yes and no. Maternal marital status was coded as married, cohabitant and not married/cohabitant. Ten categories of maternal work situation before childbirth were combined into four categories: full-time, part-time, student and other (including mothers working at home/housewives, mothers on sick leave, unemployed, disabled, on rehabilitation, etc.). Ten categories of maternal work situation when the infant was 12 months were combined into four categories: full-time, part-time, maternity leave and other. Four categories of the number of children/parity were categorised into three groups: one child, two children and three or more children. Five categories of day care at 12 months by others, than parents, were categorised as no (day care by the mother and/or the father) and yes (day care by childminder, kindergarten or grandparents/other care persons). Geographic region was combined into six categories: Capital and surroundings, East, South, West, Middle and North regions. Infant birth weight, reported as a continuous variable, was categorised as >3500, 2500-3500 and <2500 g. Asthma/ allergy in the family was categorised as yes (the infant's mother, father and/or sibling(s) have or have had asthma/ allergy) and no.

With regard to analysis of exclusive breast-feeding at 5.5 months of age, there was a need of collapsing categories for maternal education and maternal age to avoid small subgroups.

We used both results from the univariate analyses (with a criterion of P < 0.10) and evidence from the literature to decide which variables should be examined in the multivariate analyses. In the final models, significant variables (P < 0.05) were included. However, regardless of the statistical significance level, we decided to include maternal age and maternal education in all the final models. All P values are two-sided, and a 5% level of significance was used. All statistical analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences statistical software package version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

The results of the analyses of exclusive breast-feeding at 4 and 5.5 months of age, of breast-feeding at 6 and 12 months of age and the introduction of solid foods before 4 months of age are presented. These ages were chosen to study adherence to the recommendations on infant feeding and gave a possibility of comparison with earlier national data from Norway<sup>(6)</sup>.

#### Results

Table 1 presents selected characteristics of the infants and their parents. Of the 1490 mothers/infants who participated at both 6 and 12 months of age, 93% of the mothers completed the questionnaires.

Table 1 Characteristics of infants and their parents (n 1490)

Characteristics	Valuet
Infants	
Boys/girls	50/50
Birth weight (g)	3595 (592)
>3500	59
2500-3500	37
<2500	4
Birth length (cm)	50.3 (2.6)
Gestational age (weeks)	( )
<38	12
≥38	88
Mothers	
Age (vears)	31.2 (4.8)
≤24	8 Å
25–34	68
≥35	24
Maternal marital status	
Married	47
Cohabitant	49
Not married/cohabitant	4
Smoking during pregnancy	
No	84
Yes, but quitted	9
Yes	6
Smoking#	-
No	87
Yes	14
Education	
Primary and secondary schools	4
Comprehensive school	29
Academy/college/university (≤4 years)	39
Academy/college/university (>4 years)	28
Fathers	
Education	
Primary and secondary schools	7
Comprehensive school	45
Academy/college/university ( $\leq$ 4 vears)	26
Academy/college/university (>4 vears)	22
,	-

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{tPercentages}}$  for categorical variables, and means with  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{s}}\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}}$  for continuous variables.

‡When the infant was at the age of 6 months.

Ninety-two per cent of the infants were exclusively breastfed at 1 week of age. The proportion of exclusively breastfed infants was 84% at 1 month of age, 65% at 3 months and decreased to 48% at 4 months of age and further down to 13% at 5.5 months of age (Fig. 1). Only 1.5% of the infants had never been breast-fed. The breast-feeding level slowly decreased from 96% at 1 month of age, to 82% at 6 months of age and to 46% at 12 months of age (Fig. 1).

Among those who ceased breast-feeding before 6 months, the three most important reasons reported were insufficient milk (40%), the infant did not want to have breast milk (17%) and sucking problems (10%). Between 6 and 12 months of age, the three most important reasons reported for breast-feeding cessation were that the infant did not want to have breast milk (37%), insufficient milk (20%) and no specific problems, but did not want to breast-feed any longer (10%).

## Factors associated with exclusive breast-feeding

Maternal and paternal education, number of children, geographical region and maternal smoking were significantly associated with exclusive breast-feeding at 4 months of age (Table 2). Significant positive trends were found for maternal education and number of children. Maternal age was significantly associated with exclusive breast-feeding at 5.5 months of age (Table 3) and a significant positive trend was observed. At both 4 and 5.5 months of age the odds of



**Fig. 1** Exclusive breast-feeding ( $\spadesuit$ ) during the first 6 months of life and breast-feeding ( $\blacktriangle$ ) during the first year of life (*n* 1490)

exclusive breast-feeding were significantly (P < 0.01) lower for smoking mothers compared with non-smoking mothers.

In addition, multivariate regression analyses were performed for exclusive breast-feeding at ages 1, 2, 3 and 5 months and the results are summarised in Table 4. Maternal education turned out to be the most stable variable as it was significantly associated with exclusive breastfeeding at most ages. From 3 months of age, maternal smoking was significantly associated with exclusive breastfeeding.

#### Factors associated with breast-feeding

Maternal and paternal education, maternal age and marital status were significantly associated with breast-feeding at 6 months (Table 5). Breast-feeding at 12 months was significantly associated with maternal education, maternal age and number of children (Table 5). At both ages, significant negative associations with breast-feeding were observed for maternal smoking and for descending infant birth weight, and at 12 months a negative association was also observed for having day care by other than the parents.

Table 2 Adjusted OR of exclusive	breast-feeding	at 4	months	of age
----------------------------------	----------------	------	--------	--------

			Exclusive breas 4 mont	t-feeding at hs
Characteristics	nt	%†	Adjusted OR‡	95 % Cl‡
Maternal education				
Primary and secondary schools	14	26	1.00	-
Comprehensive school	138	37	1.53	0.77, 3.02
Academy/college/university (≤4 years)	267	50	2.13	1·07, 4·23
Academy/college/university (>4 years)	240	63	3.14	1.54, 6.38
P trend§	-	-	***	-
Paternal education				
Primary and secondary schools	42	44	1.00	-
Comprehensive school	240	40	0.67	0.42, 1.08
Academy/college/university (≤4 years)	191	55	0.95	0.58, 1.57
Academy/college/university (>4 years)	186	61	1.00	0.59, 1.69
P trend§	-	-	NS	_
Maternal age (years)				
≤24	26	23	1.00	-
25–34	451	49	1.62	0.99, 2.67
≥35	182	57	1.74	1.00, 3.04
P trend§	-	-	NS	_
Maternal smoking				
No	612	52	1.00	-
Yes	47	27	0.43	0.29, 0.62
Number of children				
1	213	40	1.00	-
2	301	55	1.77	1.37, 2.30
≥3	145	56	2.00	1.41, 2.82
P trend§	-	-	***	_
Geographical region				
Capital and surroundings	179	55	1.00	_
East	143	42	0.69	0.50, 0.96
South	117	56	1.25	0.86, 1.81
West	110	49	0.92	0.64, 1.33
Middle	54	45	0.73	0.47, 1.15
North	56	44	0.71	0.46, 1.11

+Number and percentage of exclusive breast-fed infants within current independent variable. Total number of infants at 4 months of age (*n* 1343).

‡OR and 95% CI are adjusted for all other variables in the table.

§Test for linear trend: NS; \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001.

#### Table 3 Adjusted OR of exclusive breast-feeding at 5.5 months of age

			Exclusive breas 5·5 mor	t-feeding at hths
Characteristics	<i>n</i> t	%†	Adjusted OR‡	95 % Cl‡
Maternal education				
Primary/secondary/comprehensive school	46	11	1.00	_
Academy/college/university (≤4 years)	68	13	1.07	0.71, 1.60
Academy/college/university (>4 years)	67	18	1.32	0.86, 2.02
P trend§	-	-	NS	_
Maternal age (years)				
<30	46	9	1.00	-
30–34	71	13	1.29	0.86, 1.94
≥35	64	20	2.13	1.39, 3.28
P trend§	_	_	***	_
Maternal smoking				
No	172	15	1.00	_
Yes	9	5	0.34	0.17, 0.68

+Number and percentage of exclusive breast-fed infants within current independent variable. Total number of infants at 5.5 months of age (n 1343).

 $\pm$ OR and 95% CI are adjusted for all other variables in the table. §Test for linear trend: NS; \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001.

Age (months)	Maternal education	Maternal age	Maternal smoking	Birth weight	Paternal education	Number of children	Geographical region	Marital status	Asthma/ allergy
1	х			х			х		
2	х			х			х	х	
3	х		х	х	х	х			х
4	х		х		х	х	х		
5	х	х	х			х			
5.5		х	х						

+Total number of infants (n 1343), with x indicating adjusted significant associations (P < 0.05).

In addition, multivariate regression analyses were performed for breast-feeding at every month from 1 to 5 months of age and from 7 to 11 months of age and the results are summarised in Table 6. Maternal education turned out to be the most stable variable as it was significantly associated with breast-feeding at all ages. Maternal age, maternal smoking, paternal education and infant birth weight also turned out to be stable variables as they showed significant associations with breast-feeding at most ages.

# Factors associated with introduction of solid foods

Ten per cent of the infants were introduced to solid foods before 4 months of age (i.e. at 3.5 months of age or earlier). In this group, the most common first food was porridge made of maize/rice/millet (63% had consumed this), while 25% had consumed porridge made of oat/wheat/barley and 24% had consumed fruits/berries before the age of 4 months. Only a few had been introduced to vegetables, meat or yoghurt before 4 months of age.

A significant linear trend of increasing odds of not introducing solid foods before 4 months of age was found with increasing maternal education (Table 7). Girls had significantly higher odds of not being introduced to solid foods before 4 months of age than boys, and significant associations were also found for number of children and geographical region. The odds of not introducing solid foods before 4 months of age was significantly lower (P < 0.001) for smoking mothers compared with non-smoking mothers.

#### Discussion

Based on the data from a large national infant dietary survey, factors associated with exclusive breast-feeding and breast-feeding during the first year of life among Norwegian infants were explored. We found that exclusive breast-feeding and breast-feeding in early infant age overall were high. In multivariate regression analyses, exclusive breast-feeding was significantly associated with maternal education, while breast-feeding was significantly associated with maternal education and maternal age. Both exclusive breast-feeding and breast-feeding were negatively associated with maternal smoking.

## Exclusive breast-feeding and breast-feeding levels

More than 90% of the infants in the present study were exclusively breast-fed at 1 week of age, and the level of exclusive breast-feeding was high during the first 3 months of life, but then declined to 10% at 6 months

#### Table 5 Adjusted OR of breast-feeding at 6 and 12 months of age

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				Breast-feeding	at 6 months			Breast-feeding a	at 12 months
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Characteristics	n <del>t</del>	%†	Adjusted OR‡	95 % Cl‡	nt	%†	Adjusted OR‡	95 % Cl‡
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Infant birth weight (g)								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	>3500	670	85	1.00	-	378	49	1.00	_
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2500–3500	407	82	0.83	0.60, 1.14	213	45	0.85	0.67, 1.08
P trend§       -       -       ***       -       -       -       *       -         Maternal education       Primary and secondary schools       33       61       1.00       -       13       26       1.00       -         Comprehensive school       270       72       1.57       0.82, 3.00       136       38       1.61       0.81, 3.21         Academy/college/university (>4 years)       348       91       3.87       1.83, 8-17       24       55       2.81       1.39, 5-66         P trend§       -	<2500	30	57	0.19	0.11, 0.36	16	31	0.39	0.21, 0.72
Maternal education         Primary and secondary school       270       72       1.57       0.82, 3.00       138       38       1.61       0.81, 3.21         Academy/college/university (≤4 years)       346       86       2.54       1.29, 5.01       254       50       2.33       1.17, 4.65         Academy/college/university (>4 years)       348       91       3.87       1.83, 8.17       204       55       2.81       1.39, 5.66         P trends       -	P trend§	-	_	***	_	_	_	*	_
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Maternal education								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Primary and secondary schools	33	61	1.00	-	13	26	1.00	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Comprehensive school	270	72	1.57	0.82, 3.00	136	38	1.61	0·81, 3·21
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Academy/college/university (≤4 years)	456	86	2.54	1.29, 5.01	254	50	2.33	1.17, 4.65
P trends       -       -       +++       -       -       -       +++       -       -       -       +++       -       -       -       +++       -       -       -       +++       -       -       -       +++       -       -       -       +++       - </td <td>Academy/college/university (&gt;4 years)</td> <td>348</td> <td>91</td> <td>3.87</td> <td>1.83, 8.17</td> <td>204</td> <td>55</td> <td>2.81</td> <td>1.39, 5.66</td>	Academy/college/university (>4 years)	348	91	3.87	1.83, 8.17	204	55	2.81	1.39, 5.66
Paternal education       Primary and secondary schools       78       81       1·00       -	P trend§	_	_	***	_	_	_	***	_
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Paternal education								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Primary and secondary schools	78	81	1.00	-	_	_	_	-
Academy/college/university (≤4 years)       306       88       0.72       0.36, 1.44       -	Comprehensive school	447	75	0.43	0.23, 0.80	_	_	_	-
Academý/college/universitý (>4 ýears)       276       90       0.73       0.35, 1.55       -	Academy/college/university (≤4 years)	306	88	0.72	0.36, 1.44	_	_	_	-
P trend§       -	Academy/college/university (>4 years)	276	90	0.73	0.35, 1.55	_	_	-	_
Maternal age (years) $\leq 24$ 69       62       1 ·00       -       20       25       1 ·00       -         25-34       770       84       1 ·94       1 ·21, 3 ·11       395       47       1 ·99       1 ·13, 3 ·50         ≥ 35       268       84       1 ·80       1 ·04, 3 ·11       192       53       2 ·40       1 ·30, 4 ·44         P trend§       -       -       NS       -       -       *       -         Maternal smoking       -       -       NS       -       -       *       -         Maternal marital status       -       -       106       60       0 ·35       0 ·24, 0 ·51       48       29       0 ·49       0 ·34, 0 ·71         Maternal marital status       - <t< td=""><td>P trend§</td><td>-</td><td>_</td><td>*</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>-</td><td>_</td></t<>	P trend§	-	_	*	_	_	_	-	_
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Maternal age (years)								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	≤24	69	62	1.00	-	20	25	1.00	_
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25–34	770	84	1.94	1.21, 3.11	395	47	1.99	1.13, 3.50
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	≥35	268	84	1.80	1.04, 3.11	192	53	2.40	1.30, 4.44
Maternal smoking No100186 $1 \cdot 00$ $-$ 55950 $1 \cdot 00$ $-$ Yes10660 $0 \cdot 35$ $0 \cdot 24$ , $0 \cdot 51$ 4829 $0 \cdot 49$ $0 \cdot 34$ , $0 \cdot 71$ Maternal marital statusMarried54785 $1 \cdot 00$ $    -$ Maternal marital status54785 $1 \cdot 00$ $    -$ Maternal marital status54785 $1 \cdot 00$ $    -$ Not married/cohabitant2761 $0 \cdot 43$ $0 \cdot 21$ , $0 \cdot 88$ $  -$ Number of children1 $     -$ 1 $    232$ 44 $0 \cdot 75$ $0 \cdot 57$ , $0 \cdot 97$ $\geq 3$ $        -$ P trend§ $       -$ Day care by persons other than the parents $      -$ No $        -$ No $       -$ 1 $       -$ P trend§ $       -$ No $-$ </td <td>P trend§</td> <td>-</td> <td>_</td> <td>NS</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>*</td> <td>_</td>	P trend§	-	_	NS	_	_	_	*	_
No1001861.00-559501.00-Yes106600.350.24, 0.5148290.490.34, 0.71Maternal marital statusMarried547851.00Cohabitant533811.050.76, 1.44Not married/cohabitant27610.430.21, 0.88Number of children234471.00-1232440.750.57, 0.97≥3141551.120.79, 1.58P trend§NS-Day care by persons other than the parents454501.00-Yes153400.640.50, 0.82	Maternal smoking								
Yes10660 $0.35$ $0.24, 0.51$ 4829 $0.49$ $0.34, 0.71$ Maternal marital statusMarried54785 $1.00$ $    -$ Cohabitant53381 $1.05$ $0.76, 1.44$ $   -$ Not married/cohabitant2761 $0.43$ $0.21, 0.88$ $  -$ Number of children $      -$ 1 $    234$ 47 $1.00$ $-$ 2 $    232$ 44 $0.755$ $0.57, 0.97$ $\geq 3$ $        -$ P trend§ $        -$ Day care by persons other than the parents $      -$ No $       -$ P trend§ $       -$ No $      -$ No $      -$ No $      -$ No $      -$ No $ -$ <	No	1001	86	1.00	-	559	50	1.00	-
Maternal marital status       Married       547       85 $1 \cdot 00$ $   -$	Yes	106	60	0.35	0.24, 0.51	48	29	0.49	0.34, 0.71
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Maternal marital status								
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Married	547	85	1.00	-	_	_	-	_
Not married/cohabitant27610.430.21, 0.88 $   -$ Number of children112-2232<	Cohabitant	533	81	1.05	0.76, 1.44	-	_	-	-
Number of children23447 $1 \cdot 00$ -223244 $0 \cdot 75$ $0 \cdot 57$ , $0 \cdot 97$ $\geq 3$ 23244 $0 \cdot 75$ $0 \cdot 57$ , $0 \cdot 97$ $\geq 3$ 14155 $1 \cdot 12$ $0 \cdot 79$ , $1 \cdot 58$ P trend§NS-Day care by persons other than the parents45450 $1 \cdot 00$ -Yes15340 $0 \cdot 64$ $0 \cdot 50$ , $0 \cdot 82$	Not married/cohabitant	27	61	0.43	0.21, 0.88	-	_	-	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Number of children								
2       -       -       -       -       232       44       0.75       0.57, 0.97         ≥3       -       -       -       -       141       55       1.12       0.79, 1.58         P trend§       -       -       -       -       -       -       NS       -         Day care by persons other than the parents       -       -       -       -       NS       -         Yes       -       -       -       -       153       40       0.64       0.50, 0.82	1	-	_	-	-	234	47	1.00	_
≥3       -       -       -       -       141       55       1⋅12       0⋅79, 1⋅58         P trend§       -       -       -       -       -       -       NS       -         Day care by persons other than the parents       -       -       -       -       -       NS       -         Yes       -       -       -       -       -       454       50       1⋅00       -	2	-	_	-	-	232	44	0.75	0.57, 0.97
P trend§       -       -       -       -       -       NS       -         Day care by persons other than the parents       -       -       -       -       -       NS       -         No       -       -       -       -       454       50       1.00       -         Yes       -       -       -       -       153       40       0.64       0.50, 0.82	≥3	-	_	-	-	141	55	1.12	0.79, 1.58
Day care by persons other than the parents         -         -         -         454         50         1.00         -           No         -         -         -         -         454         50         1.00         -           Yes         -         -         -         153         40         0.64         0.50, 0.82	P trend§	_	_	-	-	_	_	NS	_
No         -         -         -         454         50         1.00         -           Yes         -         -         -         -         153         40         0.64         0.50, 0.82	Day care by persons other than the parents								
Yes – – – – 153 40 0.64 0.50, 0.82	No	_	_	-	-	454	50	1.00	-
	Yes	_	-	-	-	153	40	0.64	0.50, 0.82

\*Number and percentage of breast-fed infants within the current independent variable. Total number of infants at 6 (n 1343) and at 12 (n 1285) months of age. ‡OR and 95% CI are adjusted for all other variables in the table, with the exception of day care by persons other than the parents at 6 months of age. §Test for linear trend: NS; \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001.

of age. These data are consistent with earlier national data from Norway<sup>(14)</sup>. National data from Sweden show the same level of exclusive breast-feeding at 1 week of age compared to our findings (88%), while 15% of the infants were exclusively breast-feed at 6 months of  $age^{(17)}$ . In the USA, national data show a low level of exclusive breast-feeding at 1 week of age (50%), while 14% of the infants were exclusively breast-feed at 6 months of  $age^{(18)}$ .

Only 1.5% of the infants in our study had never been breast-fed. Breast-feeding level slowly decreased from 92% at 2 months of age, to 82% at 6 months and further down to 46% at 12 months of age. A high level of breast-feeding initiation has also been reported in Sweden where 98% of the mothers initiated breast-feeding<sup>(17)</sup>. At 2 months of age, 90% were breast-fed, while 69% and 17% of the infants were breast-feed at 6 and 12 months of age, respectively<sup>(17)</sup>. The breast-feeding initiation reported from the USA was 74% and at 2, 6 and 12 months of age the corresponding breastfeeding rates were 63%, 43% and 23%, respectively<sup>(18)</sup>.

## Maternal and paternal education

A number of studies have observed that maternal education is significantly associated with exclusive breastfeeding and breast-feeding duration<sup>(4,5,7,8,19-21)</sup>. In our study, the odds of exclusive breast-feeding and breastfeeding increased significantly with increasing maternal educational level. We found a significant association between exclusive breast-feeding at 4 months of age and paternal education, but no significant association was found at 5.5 months of age. In the AIBS study (All Babies in Southeast Sweden), data on exclusive breast-feeding were available for more than 10000 infants born between 1997 and 1999<sup>(7)</sup>, and low paternal education was reported to be a risk factor for short exclusive breast-feeding (<4 months). Paternal education was found to be significantly associated with breast-feeding at every month from 2 to 9 months of age in the present study. In a study among 10500 Californian women, Heck et al.<sup>(22)</sup> showed positive associations between breast-feeding and paternal education,

Table 6 Factors associated with breast-feeding during the first year of life

			)	•								
Age (months)	Maternal education	Maternal age	Maternal smoking	Paternal education	Birth weight	Day care at 12 months	Marital status	Work situation	Number of children	Gender	Asthma/ allergy	Geographical region
-	×						×			×		
N	×		×	×								
с С	×	×	×	×								×
4	×	×	×	×	×		×					
5	×	×	×	×	×		×					
6	×	×	×	×	×		×					
7	×	×	×	×	×		×	×			×	
8	×	×	×	×	×	×	×					
6	×	×	×	×	×	×						
10	×	×	×		×	×						
11	×	×	×		×	×						
12	×	×	×		×	×			×			
*Total number	of infants from 1 to	o 6 months of ag	je ( <i>n</i> 1343) and fro	om 7 to 12 months of	of age ( <i>n</i> 1285	5), with x indicating adju	sted significar	t associations (F	o < 0.05).			

and Lanting *et al.*<sup>(20)</sup> reported that women in The Netherlands who initiated breast-feeding were more likely to have a higher-educated partner.

# Maternal age

Maternal age is a powerful variable that has been associated with exclusive breast-feeding and breast-feeding initiation and duration<sup>(3–5,7)</sup>. In contrast to what others have reported<sup>(6,7)</sup>, we did not find a significant association between exclusive breast-feeding and increasing maternal age at 4 months of age. However, at 5·5 months of age a significant association was observed. In the present study, multivariate analyses of breast-feeding during the first year of life showed that maternal age turned out to be a stable variable as it was significantly associated with breast-feeding at every month from 3 to 12 months of age.

## Maternal smoking

In Sweden, Ludvigsson and Ludvigsson<sup>(7)</sup> reported smoking to be associated with increased risk of short exclusive breast-feeding (<4 months). In the previous national dietary survey among infants in Norway, Lande *et al.*<sup>(6)</sup> reported significantly lower odds of exclusive breast-feeding at 4 months of age for mothers who smoked compared with non-smoking mothers (adjusted OR = 0.40; 95% CI 0.32, 0.50). This is similar to the results we observed for maternal smoking with regard to exclusive breast-feeding at 4 and 5.5 months of age.

In the present study, maternal smoking was an important adverse factor on the duration of breast-feeding. The odds of breast-feeding at both 6 and 12 months of age were lower for mothers who smoked compared with non-smoking mothers. For breast-feeding duration and maternal smoking, a consistent negative association has been reported in the literature<sup>(3–5,23)</sup>.

In our analyses, maternal smoking turned out to be a stable variable as it was negatively associated with exclusive breast-feeding at every month from 3 to 5.5 months of age and with breast-feeding from 2 to 12 months of age.

# Marital status

We found a significant association between marital status and breast-feeding at 6 months of age, but no such association was observed for breast-feeding at 12 months of age or for exclusive breast-feeding at 4 or 5.5 months of age. Some studies have shown that breast-feeding occurs more frequently among married women and that married women breast-feed for longer periods of time<sup>(5)</sup>, while others have not found this association<sup>(4)</sup>.

## Birth weight

We found no significant association between infant birth weight and exclusive breast-feeding; however, the odds of breast-feeding decreased significantly with decreasing birth weight at both 6 and 12 months of age. The same was observed by Lande *et al.*<sup>(6)</sup>.

Table 7 Adjusted OR of not receiving	ng solid foods before 4 months of ag
--------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

			Not receiving solic 4 mont	l foods before hs
Characteristics	<i>n</i> t	%†	Adjusted OR‡	95 % Cl‡
Infant's gender				
Boy	582	88	1.00	-
Girl	624	92	1.58	1.08, 2.31
Maternal education				
Primary and secondary schools	41	76	1.00	-
Comprehensive school	315	84	1.36	0.65, 2.82
Academy/college/university (≤4 years)	485	91	2.02	0.94, 4.33
Academy/college/university (>4 years)	365	95	3.68	1.57, 8.67
P trend§	-	-	***	_
Maternal age (years)				
≤24	82	74	1.00	-
25–34	828	91	1.66	0.95, 2.88
≥35	296	93	1.88	0.91, 3.91
P trend§	-	-	NS	_
Maternal smoking				
No	1069	92	1.00	-
Yes	137	78	0.45	0.28, 0.70
Number of children				
1	462	86	1.00	-
2	509	93	2.00	1.29, 3.12
≥3	235	91	1.51	0.86, 2.66
P trend§	-	-	*	_
Geographical region				
Capital and surroundings	304	93	1.00	-
East	299	89	0.71	0.40, 1.25
South	193	92	1.06	0.53, 2.11
West	205	92	1.06	0.54, 2.07
Middle	104	87	0.61	0.30, 1.24
North	101	80	0.32	0.17, 0.62

+Number and percentage of infants not receiving solid foods before 4 months of age within current independent variable. Total number of infants (*n* 1343).

‡OR and 95% CI are adjusted for all other variables in the table.

§Test for linear trend: NS; \**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001.

# Parity

In the literature, the association between parity and breast-feeding is inconsistent<sup>(4,5)</sup>. In our study, the odds of exclusive breast-feeding at 4 months of age increased with increasing number of children. We did not observe a consistent pattern between exclusive breast-feeding or breast-feeding and parity.

## Day care

Due to the Norwegian parental leave system, the majority of Norwegian infants are in parental care at the first year of life. At the age of 12 months, 70% of the infants in our study were in parental care, while 30% were taken care of by childminders, kindergartens, grandparents or other care persons. For breast-feeding at 12 months of age, we observed a significant negative association with non-parental day care and observed that infants who had non-parental care had lower odds of being breast-feed at this age. A recent study from the USA<sup>(24)</sup>, using a national representative sample of 8150 infants, reported that infants who attended day care before 3 months of age were less likely to ever have been breast-feed than those in parental care. In another national sample of 2500 mothers in the USA, Hendricks *et al.*<sup>(25)</sup> observed that being in day

care was associated with decreased duration of breast-feeding at ages 6 and 12 months.

#### Introduction of solid foods

Norwegian health authorities recommend that solid foods are introduced at 6 months of age, or at the earliest at 4 months of age<sup>(11)</sup>. In the present study, 10% had been introduced to solid foods before 4 months of age. National data from the USA<sup>(26)</sup> show that about 29% of all infants were introduced to solid foods before 4 months of age, while earlier national data from Norway<sup>(6)</sup> observed that 21% of the infants received solid foods before the age of 4 months; the corresponding percentages reported from Sweden and Switzerland are 4% and 5%, respectively<sup>(27,28)</sup>.

Consistent with earlier findings from Norway, we found that infants with mothers who were less educated were more likely to have been introduced to solid foods before 4 months of age and that the introduction of solid foods differed significantly among geographical regions<sup>(6)</sup>. In the univariate analysis the odds of timely introduction of solid foods increased with increasing maternal age, but in contrast to earlier findings<sup>(6,25,29)</sup>, this association was not significant in the multivariate analysis. Moreover, a significant association between the introduction of solid foods and parity was observed in the present study. Compared to associations reported in the literature, some have found an association<sup>(6,30)</sup>, while others have not<sup>(29,31)</sup>. In our study, boys were more likely to be introduced to solid foods before 4 months of age than girls. Lande *et al.*<sup>(6)</sup> and Erkkola *et al.*<sup>(29)</sup> reported similar results, while Scott *et al.* did not find such association<sup>(31)</sup>. We found that mothers who smoked were more likely than non-smoking mothers to introduce solid foods early, and this is consistent with what others have reported<sup>(6,28,31)</sup>.

In the present study, we used national representative data from 1490 mothers/infants who participated in a prospective cohort study in Norway. The response rate was 52%. When comparing the responders with available data on all Norwegian births in 2006, there were no indications of differences regarding an infant's gender, gestational age, number of children, geographical region or maternal marital status. Small differences, which were considered unimportant, were seen for maternal age and infant birth weight, e.g. the average maternal age in our cohort was 1 year higher than the average age of all mothers giving birth that year. The only information we had on the non-responders was geographical region, and this did not differ from the responders.

Data on exclusive breast-feeding and breast-feeding practices were collected retrospectively, but within a maximum of 6 months after cessation. Li *et al.*<sup>(32)</sup> concluded in their review that mothers seems to provide accurate estimates of initiation and duration of any breast-feeding, especially when the duration is recalled over a period of 3 years or less. The validity and reliability of maternal recall for the age at introduction of foods and fluids other than breast milk seems to be less satisfactory<sup>(32)</sup>. We used several questions to assess exclusive breast-feeding, breast-feeding and introduction of solid foods, but we cannot fully exclude recall bias.

For exclusive breast-feeding at 4 months of age, we observed a tendency towards stronger effects of maternal age on the number of children in the lowest age groups compared with those in the highest age groups. For breast-feeding at 6 months of age we observed a tendency towards stronger effects of maternal age on infant birth weight among mothers in the age group 25–34 years compared with the two other age groups. For breast-feeding at 6 months of age we also observed a tendency towards stronger effects of maternal smoking among mothers in the lowest educational groups compared with the higher educational groups (data not shown). These interactions need to be investigated further.

## Conclusion

Even though Norway has an extensive and positive breastfeeding tradition and a maternal leave system which support the possibility to breast-feed, factors like maternal education, maternal age and maternal smoking are strongly associated with duration of exclusive breast-feeding and breast-feeding. Research to better understand the reasons for inequalities in breast-feeding is needed to facilitate the development of more effective breast-feeding promotion strategies. This, again, may improve compliance with recommendations and reduce inequalities in infant feeding practices.

#### Acknowledgements

The present study was generously supported by EXTRA funds from the Norwegian Foundation for Health and Rehabilitation. The authors declare no conflict of interest. A.L.K. carried out the data analyses and wrote the manuscript; B.L., N.C.Ø. and L.F.A. assisted and provided advice during all the stages of the work. All authors contributed in the discussion and interpretation of the results, and in the drafting and editing of the manuscript. The authors are grateful to all children and their parents who participated in the national dietary survey 'Spedkost 2006–2007'. They thank all health professionals who assisted the parents in getting data on the infants' weight and height, and also thank Tron Anders Moger who provided advice for the statistical analyses.

#### References

- Duijts L, Ramadhani MK & Moll HA (2009) Breastfeeding protects against infectious diseases during infancy in industrialized countries. A systematic review. *Matern Child Nutr* 5, 199–210.
- Ip S, Chung M, Raman G et al. (2007) Breastfeeding and maternal and infant health outcomes in developed countries. *Evid Rep Technol Assess (Full Rep)* 153, 1–186.
- Dennis CL (2002) Breastfeeding initiation and duration: a 1990–2000 literature review. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 31, 12–32.
- Scott JA & Binns CW (1999) Factors associated with the initiation and duration of breastfeeding: a review of the literature. *Breastfeed Rev* 7, 5–16.
- Thulier D & Mercer J (2009) Variables associated with breastfeeding duration. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 38, 259–268.
- Lande B, Andersen LF, Baerug A *et al.* (2003) Infant feeding practices and associated factors in the first six months of life: the Norwegian infant nutrition survey. *Acta Paediatr* 92, 152–161.
- 7. Ludvigsson JF & Ludvigsson J (2005) Socio-economic determinants, maternal smoking and coffee consumption, and exclusive breastfeeding in 10205 children. *Acta Paediatr* **94**, 1310–1319.
- Michaelsen KF, Larsen PS, Thomsen BL *et al.* (1994) The Copenhagen cohort study on infant nutrition and growth: duration of breast feeding and influencing factors. *Acta Paediatr* 83, 565–571.
- 9. World Health Organization (2001) *The Optimal Duration of Exclusive Breastfeeding. Report of An Expert Consultation.* Geneva: WHO.
- 10. World Health Organization (2008) Indicators for Assessing Infant and Young Child Feeding Practices. Conclusions of

a Consensus Meeting held 6–8 November 2007 in Washington DC, USA. Geneva: WHO.

- 11. Norwegian Directorate of Health (2001) *Infant Feeding Recommendations. Report* no. IS-1019. Oslo: Norwegian Directorate of Health.
- Liestol K, Rosenberg M & Walloe L (1988) Breast-feeding practice in Norway 1860–1984. *J Biosoc Sci* 20, 45–58.
- NAV (not dated) Parental benefit on birth. http://www. nav.no/English/Stay+in+Norway/805369034.cms (accessed August 2009).
- Lande B (2003) Spedkost 6 Months Norwegian National Dietary Survey Among Infants at 6 Months. Report no. IS-1074. Oslo: Norwegian Directorate of Health.
- Lande B & Andersen LF (2005) Spedkost 12 Months Norwegian National Dietary Survey among Infants. Report no. IS-1248. Oslo: Norwegian Directorate of Health.
- Andersen LF, Lande B, Arsky GH *et al.* (2003) Validation of a semi-quantitative food-frequency questionnaire used among 12-month-old Norwegian infants. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **57**, 881–888.
- National Board of Health and Welfare (2008) Breastfeeding, Children born in 2006. http://www.socialstyrelsen.se/ publikationer2008/2008-125-12 (accessed September 2009).
- National Immunization Survey, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services (2006) US National Breastfeeding Rates. http://www.cdc. gov/breastfeeding/data/NIS\_data/2006/age.htm (accessed October 2006).
- Susin LR, Giugliani ER, Kummer SC *et al.* (1999) Does parental breastfeeding knowledge increase breastfeeding rates? *Birth* 26, 149–156.
- Lanting CI, Van Wouwe JP & Reijneveld SA (2005) Infant milk feeding practices in the Netherlands and associated factors. *Acta Paediatr* 94, 935–942.
- 21. Yngve A & Sjostrom M (2001) Breastfeeding determinants and a suggested framework for action in Europe. *Public Health Nutr* **4**, 729–739.

- Heck KE, Braveman P, Cubbin C *et al.* (2006) Socioeconomic status and breastfeeding initiation among California mothers. *Public Health Rep* **121**, 51–59.
- 23. Horta BL, Kramer MS & Platt RW (2001) Maternal smoking and the risk of early weaning: a meta-analysis. *Am J Public Health* **91**, 304–307.
- 24. Kim J & Peterson KE (2008) Association of infant child care with infant feeding practices and weight gain among US infants. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* **162**, 627–633.
- Hendricks K, Briefel R, Novak T *et al.* (2006) Maternal and child characteristics associated with infant and toddler feeding practices. *J Am Diet Assoc* **106**, Suppl. 1, S135–S148.
- Briefel RR, Reidy K, Karwe V *et al.* (2004) Feeding infants and toddlers study: improvements needed in meeting infant feeding recommendations. *J Am Diet Assoc* **104**, Suppl. 1, S31–S37.
- Brekke HK, Ludvigsson JF, van OJ *et al.* (2005) Breastfeeding and introduction of solid foods in Swedish infants: the All Babies in Southeast Sweden study. *Br J Nutr* 94, 377–382.
- Dratva J, Merten S & Ackermann-Liebrich U (2006) The timing of complementary feeding of infants in Switzerland: compliance with the Swiss and the WHO guidelines. *Acta Paediatr* **95**, 818–825.
- Erkkola M, Pigg HM, Virta-Autio P *et al.* (2005) Infant feeding patterns in the Finnish type I diabetes prediction and prevention nutrition study cohort. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 59, 107–113.
- Tatone-Tokuda F, Dubois L & Girard M (2009) Psychosocial determinants of the early introduction of complementary foods. *Health Educ Behav* 36, 302–320.
- 31. Scott JA, Binns CW, Graham KI *et al.* (2009) Predictors of the early introduction of solid foods in infants: results of a cohort study. *BMC Pediatr* **9**, 60.
- Li R, Scanlon KS & Serdula MK (2005) The validity and reliability of maternal recall of breastfeeding practice. *Nutr Rev* 63, 103–110.