



Emerald

Journal of Humanitarian
Logistics and Supply Chain
Management

**Technology Innovation and Big Data for Humanitarian
Operations**

Journal:	<i>Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management</i>
Manuscript ID	JHLSCM-11-2016-0037
Manuscript Type:	Editorial

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Editorial

Technology Innovation and Big Data for Humanitarian Operations

Technology in Humanitarian Operations

Information has been recognized as aid for more than a decade now (IFRC, 2005), leading humanitarian organizations to increasingly turn to technology and Big Data. Humanitarian needs can be assessed through social media feeds, text messaging systems and analyses of customer buying patterns. Technology driven data sources such as Global Positioning Systems (GPS), Radio Frequency based Identification (RFID), the use of satellite imagery or drones enable real-time monitoring. Biometric identification technologies are increasingly used for refugee camp management. Relief provision is beginning to shift towards virtual distributions through digital payment systems.

But the bigger the data, the more challenging it becomes to manage, analyze and turn into useful action: information stems from heterogeneous sources and actors and is fraught with uncertainty, the implications of a decision need to be assessed across organizations and actors while time and capacity to make decisions are limited.

To benefit from technology innovation, humanitarian organizations need to adapt their infrastructures, information systems, workflows, decision processes and policies. Three major trends have emerged in this context:

1. Participatory approaches, emphasizing possibilities of engagement and empowering local communities (Comes, 2016; Gingerich & Cohen, 2015; Palen & Anderson, 2016)
2. Virtual collaboration in networks of experts and volunteers (Crowley & Chan, 2010; OCHA, 2012) and along with these efforts, an increasing centralized coordination and remote management particularly when access to the affected areas is risky (Donini & Maxwell, 2014; Van de Walle & Comes, 2015)
3. Increasing automation and dominance of technology-driven approaches, at least partially owned by private companies with unclear implications for the adherence to humanitarian principles (Sandvik, Gabrielsen, Karlsrud, & Kaufmann, 2014)

Featured Articles & A Call for Research

The articles in this featured issue present original research based on real data collected from humanitarian operations in different contexts. The paper by Noori et al. presents an approach to analyze coordination in new networked settings, reflecting the novel and dynamic structures that arise through collaboration of professionals and volunteers enabled by new communication technology. Vybornova et al provide a reflection on decision-makers' information in the 2014 West African Ebola outbreak. Given that more and more data is available, they present an approach based on ontologies to organize and make accessible information.

Besides coordination and supporting information sharing across the three realms presented above, there are several open challenges that humanitarian organizations will need to address in the Big Data Era: with the shifting focus towards data collection and the introduction of more

1
2
3 and more technologies, technology can also become an excuse to not act. Adequate or
4 comprehensive data have increasingly become a requirement for funding and humanitarian
5 response activities, despite the well-known monitoring and reporting problems in some of the
6 most vulnerable and hard to access areas. In addition, with the increasing hunger for data and
7 technology innovations, concerns about data protection and privacy have become more
8 prominent, and technologies and policies for their use need to address protection concerns. This
9 is particularly true in conflicts, when collecting and sharing data can cause serious harm.
10 Therefore, further interdisciplinary research is needed on the design, development and impact
11 of humanitarian technology in the context of logistics and humanitarian operations.
12
13

14 References

- 15
16 Comes, T. (2016). Designing for Networked Community Resilience. *Procedia Engineering*, 159, 6-
17 11.
18
19 Crowley, J., & Chan, J. (2010). *Disaster Relief 2.0: The future of information sharing in*
20 *humanitarian emergencies*. Digital Humanitarian Network, Washington D.C.
21
22 Donini, A., & Maxwell, D. (2014). From face-to-face to face-to-screen: remote management,
23 effectiveness and accountability of humanitarian action in insecure environments.
24 *International Review of the Red Cross*, 95(890), 383-413.
25
26 Gingerich, T., & Cohen, M. (2015). *Turning the humanitarian system on its head*. Oxfam, Oxford.
27
28 IFRC. (2005). *Data or dialogue? The role of information in disasters*. Geneva.
29
30 OCHA. (2012). *Humanitarianism in the Network Age*. New York: <http://www.unocha.org/hina>
31
32 Palen, L., & Anderson, K. M. (2016). Crisis informatics—New data for extraordinary times.
33 *Science*, 353(6296), 224-225.
34
35 Sandvik, K. B., Gabrielsen, M., Karlsrud, J., & Kaufmann, M. (2014). Humanitarian technology: a
36 critical research agenda. *International Review of the Red Cross*, 96(893), 219-242.
37
38 Van de Walle, B., & Comes, T. (2015). On the Nature of Information Management in Complex
39 and Natural Disasters. *Procedia Engineering*, 107, 403-411.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60