

Accepted manuscript

Sandbakk, Ø., Pyne, D. B., McGawley, K., Foster, C., Kjösen Talsnes, R., Solli, G. S., Millet, G. P., Seiler, S., Laursen, P. B., Haugen, T. A., Tønnessen, E., Wilber, R., van Erp, T., Stellingwerff, T., Holmberg, H.-C. & Sandbakk, S. B. (2023). The evolution of world-class endurance training: the scientist's view on current and future trends. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance* (IJSPP), 18(8), 885-889. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2023-0131>

Published in: International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2023-0131>

AURA: <https://hdl.handle.net/11250/3122369>

Copyright: © 2023 Human Kinetics, Inc.

Embargo:

Accepted author manuscript version reprinted, by permission, from *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 2023 (ahead of print). © Human Kinetics, Inc.

1 Title:

2

3 **The evolution of world-class endurance training: the scientist's**
4 **view on current and future trends**

5

6 Running head:

7 The evolution of world-class endurance training

8

9 Type:

10 Invited Commentary

11

12 Authors:

13 Øyvind Sandbakk

14 Centre for Elite Sports Research, Department of Neuromedicine and Movement Science,
15 Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway

16

17 David B. Pyne

18 Research Institute for Sport and Exercise, University of Canberra, Australia

19

20 Kerry McGawley

21 Swedish Winter Sports Research Centre, Department of Health Sciences, Mid Sweden
22 University, Sweden

23

24 Carl Foster

25 Department of Exercise and Sport Science, University of Wisconsin-La Crosse, USA

26

27 Rune Kjøsen Talsnes

28 Centre for Elite Sports Research, Department of Neuromedicine and Movement Science,
29 Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway

30

31 Guro Strøm Solli

32 Department of Sports Science and Physical Education, Nord University, Norway

33

34 Grégoire P. Millet

35 Institute of Sport Sciences, University of Lausanne, Switzerland.

36

37 Stephen Seiler

38 Department of Sport Science and Physical Education, University of Agder, Norway

39

40 Paul B. Laursen

41 Sports Performance and Athlete Development Environments (SPADE), University of Agder,
42 Kristiansand, Norway; Sports Performance Research Institute New Zealand (SPRINZ), AUT
43 University, Auckland, New Zealand

44
45 Thomas Haugen
46 School of Health Sciences, Kristiania University College, Oslo, Norway
47
48 Espen Tønnessen
49 School of Health Sciences, Kristiania University College, Oslo, Norway
50
51 Randy Wilber
52 United States Olympic Committee, USA
53
54 Teun van Erp
55 Division of Movement Science and Exercise Therapy (MSET), Department of Exercise, Sport
56 and Lifestyle Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University,
57 Tygerberg, South Africa
58
59 Trent Stellingwerff
60 Canadian Sport Institute - Pacific, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada
61
62 Hans-Christer Holmberg
63 Department of Health Sciences, Luleå University of Technology, Sweden; School of
64 Kinesiology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada
65
66 Silvana Bucher Sandbakk
67 Department of Teacher Education, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway
68
69
70 Correspondence:
71
72 Øyvind Sandbakk,
73 Centre for Elite Sports Research, Department of Neuromedicine and Movement Science,
74 Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway
75
76
77 Word Count Abstract: 240
78 Word Count Main Text: 2382
79 Tables: 1

80 **Abstract**

81

82 **Background:** Elite sport is continuously evolving. World records keep falling and athletes
83 from a longer list of countries are involved. **Purpose:** This commentary was designed to
84 provide insights into present and future trends associated with world-class endurance training
85 based on the perspectives, experience, and knowledge of an expert panel of 25 applied sports
86 scientists. **Results:** The key drivers of development observed in the past 10-15 years were
87 related to 1) more accessible scientific knowledge for coaches and athletes, combined with 2)
88 better integration of practical and scientific exchange across multidisciplinary perspectives
89 within professionalized elite athlete support structures, as well as 3) utilization of new
90 technological advances. Based on these perspectives, we discerned and exemplified the main
91 trends in the practice of endurance sports into the following categories: better understanding of
92 sport-specific demands; improved competition execution; larger, more specific, and precise
93 training loads; improved training quality; and a professionalized and healthier lifestyle. The
94 main areas expected to drive future improvements were associated with more extensive use of
95 advanced technology for monitoring and prescribing training and recovery, more precise use
96 of environmental and nutritional interventions, better understanding of athlete-equipment
97 interactions, and greater emphasis on preventing injuries and illnesses. **Conclusions:** These
98 expert insights can serve as a platform and inspiration to develop new hypotheses and ideas,
99 encourage future collaboration between researchers and sport practitioners and, perhaps most
100 importantly, stimulate curiosity and further collaborative studies about the training,
101 physiology, and performance of endurance athletes.

102

103 **Key words:** athlete health, endurance performance, sports technology, training intensity,
104 training load, training quality.

105 **Introduction**

106

107 Elite sport is continuously evolving, as illustrated by world records being broken and the
108 involvement of a greater diversity of countries and athletes, driving improvements in athletic
109 performance. Explanations for this continued performance evolution are multifaceted, and
110 likely include the optimization of athlete training and competitive periodization, as well as
111 recent advancements in technologies, equipment, and scientific knowledge, all accessible to
112 larger audiences. However, research on elite athletes is often constrained by underlying
113 challenges, such as interruptions to coaching and training programs, as well as limitations in
114 the type, quality or applicability of research studies that can be executed with elite performers.
115 To gain complementary insight into current and future trends associated with world-class
116 endurance training, this commentary is based on the perspectives, experience, and knowledge
117 of an expert panel of applied sports scientists.

118

119 **Methods**

120 *To capture key insights about the evolution of endurance training and performance, we solicited*
121 *and aggregated expert judgements through a structured elicitation protocol. In the first step,*
122 *two questions were posed by the first and last author* to an expert panel of 25 acknowledged
123 sport scientists (*5 women and 20 men*) with experiences of working closely with world-leading
124 endurance athletes and coaches over the last decade(s). Collectively, this multinational panel
125 had multidisciplinary (i.e., exercise physiology, biomechanics, sports analytics, nutrition, and
126 sports medicine) experience of working with male and female athletes from 15 different nations
127 and representing all Olympic endurance sports.

128

129 The two questions were: (1) *What are the most important trends related directly or indirectly*
130 *to the training and improved performance of the world's best endurance athletes during the*
131 *past 10-15 years?* and (2) *Which advances will contribute to further improving endurance*
132 *performance during the next 10-15 years?* *To allow diversity of opinion, all scientists were*
133 *asked to prioritize three key points for each question individually, and to explain and exemplify*
134 *their choices. Consent was given on the basis that replies could be used for the purpose of this*
135 *commentary.*

136

137 *In the next steps, all responses were aggregated into initial thematic categories by the first and*
138 *last author. Iterative refinement was undertaken by facilitated negotiation and discussion over*
139 *e-mail among all authors, until final consensus on main categories, as well as representative*
140 *examples and explanations was reached.*

141

142

143 **Recent and Contemporary Trends in Endurance Training**

144 To address recent and contemporary trends, the answers to the first question were categorized
145 into two dimensions: the underlying mechanisms driving the development (*the why*), and the
146 effects of these factors on sport practices (*the what*).

147

148 A main driver of development in endurance training methods was more relevant scientific
149 knowledge accessible to coaches and athletes, combined with better integration and exchange
150 of practical and scientific knowledge. In this context, easier access to scientific and
151 experienced-based knowledge through open-access journals, media (e.g., popular science
152 articles, podcasts, Twitter, Instagram, YouTube, etc.) and various other communication
153 channels (e.g., conferences/summits, webinars, workshops, personal conversations, etc.) has
154 facilitated faster and wider learning and possibly more effective implementation into sport
155 practice. Two potential challenges associated with effective utilization of publicly-available
156 information are 1) the ability to filter useful versus less useful content, and 2) translation of this
157 specific information into a holistic training process. This translation process will require close
158 collaboration between athletes, coaches, and various domain experts.

159
160 Another main driver was the implementation of technological advances, with better equipment
161 and more validated tools/wearables for monitoring and analyzing training, performance, and
162 recovery. The sports science laboratory has moved out to the roads, tracks, pools, lakes, trails,
163 rivers, and mountains, where endurance athletes train daily. A critical challenge in this context
164 is to assure that the continuously collected data stream is as reliable and valid as possible.

165
166 Elite athlete health and performance support structures are now often organized in
167 multidisciplinary centers or teams. This was regarded as a complementary factor facilitating
168 effective implementation of the extended knowledge and new technological solutions into the
169 holistic training, competition, and performance process. High-performance sports directors (or
170 equivalent) and coaches are, in general, now more well-educated in coaching and/or sports
171 science. In addition, they are more open to the potential benefits of multi- and intradisciplinary
172 collaboration among athletes, coaches, scientists, and other experts.

173
174 Based on these driving factors, we discerned 5 important trends in the practice of endurance
175 sports that have evolved over the last 10-15 years.

176
177 *1) Better understanding of sport-specific demands*

178
179 A more interdisciplinary and integrated understanding of physiological, technical, tactical,
180 nutritional, and mental aspects underlying performance, on the basis of optimal mental and
181 physical health, has evolved in sports.¹ For example, different exercise modes can elicit highly
182 distinct metabolic, mechanical, and muscular loading, which can have significant
183 consequences for training and recovery processes.² In this context, the technological possibility
184 to measure performance, training load, and recovery under ecologically valid conditions, in
185 combination with advanced performance modelling, has extended our understanding beyond
186 the traditional performance-determining factors.³ Examples of complementary concepts are the
187 impact of resilience/durability during long-duration exercise,⁴ or the implementation of various
188 models describing aerobic and anaerobic kinetics during intermittent exercise. A better
189 understanding of nutritional strategies has also played a significant role both for optimizing
190 performance, and sustainable tolerance and execution of high daily training loads. This may

191 **include** optimal carbohydrate (CHO) intake (daily and during training and competition)⁵ and
192 associated nutritional periodization to meet the demands of the sport.⁶⁷

193

194 *2) Improved competition execution*

195

196 More accurate technological measures of performance and advanced performance models have
197 improved pacing strategies,⁸ as well as the ability of each athlete (and their coaches) to identify
198 and focus on his/her own individual strengths and weaknesses. Examples of this are the
199 extensive use of various wearable devices, such as power meters, global positioning/navigation
200 satellite systems (GPS/GNSS), and inertial movement units (IMUs) in many sports.^{9, 10} With
201 the combination of machine learning and domain competence, these developments have
202 provided new insights in many sports, although the practical and ethical challenges of
203 accumulating and processing large sets of personal data should also be acknowledged.

204

205 Without doubt, improved equipment has been vital for performance development in many
206 endurance sports, with the clap skate in speed skating,¹¹ carbon fibre use in cycling, **rowing,**
207 **kayak and paralympic events,** and “super-shoes” in running¹² being primary examples. Another
208 factor is improved preparation strategies for competitions held in different environmental
209 conditions such as altitude and the heat.¹³ Furthermore, sport-specific and individualized
210 nutritional intake during competitions (e.g., CHO intake and the use of various ergogenic aids)^{5,}
211 ¹⁴⁻¹⁶ was highlighted by many of the respondents.

212

213 *3) Larger, more specific, and precise training loads*

214

215 Many of the scientists in the expert panel highlighted that world-leading endurance athletes
216 now perform and tolerate higher training volumes than previously recorded. However, others
217 had observed more precise and calculated training models, allowing a higher volume or density
218 of competition-specific training. In both cases, the detection of individualized “sweet-spots”
219 with respect to training volume and intensity, as well as individualized training intensity
220 distribution and more detailed monitoring and analysis of capacity developments, were
221 highlighted as success criteria. One of the trends observed by many of the scientists was more
222 of the intense training being performed in a “controlled zone”, thereby allowing higher volume
223 and/or frequency of sessions at competition-relevant speeds.¹⁷ However, the **specific** changes
224 in training patterns, as well as the underlying mechanisms, need to be verified for different
225 endurance sports.

226

227 The following aspects were highlighted as the main facilitators for athletes accumulating higher
228 training volumes or competition-specific loads: shorter transition/recovery periods between the
229 competition period and the following macrocycle, higher training loads both early in the
230 training year and during the competition period, and more conscious periodization and load-
231 recovery monitoring. Other key factors allowing more precise training loads included improved
232 training facilities (e.g., better roller-ski tracks for cross-country skiers and biathletes, and more
233 indoor tracks in cycling, athletics and speed skating), and improved equipment. In addition,
234 more advanced injury prevention measures seem to provide better continuity of training.^{18, 19}

235

236 More women worldwide now have the possibility to train and compete professionally in
237 endurance sports, with a higher status of female competitions, more financial support, and
238 better coaching available to female athletes. In addition, many sporting environments now
239 possess greater awareness of and willingness to communicate about aspects of female
240 physiology and health (e.g., the influence of the menstrual cycle,^{20, 21} hormonal
241 contraception,²² and pregnancy/post-partum²³⁻²⁶), and their potential impact on training and
242 performance. With the increase in professional opportunities for female athletes, and an
243 improved understanding of the specific challenges facing **women** in elite sport, larger, more
244 specific, and/or precise training loads are particularly observed in female athletes.

245

246 Finally, several respondents highlighted that more systematic inclusion of environmental
247 stressors, such as altitude²⁷ and heat¹³, periodized in the training process has become more
248 common, particularly when preparing for events held under challenging climatic conditions.

249

250 4) *Improved training quality*

251

252 Factors associated with improved training quality²⁸ were highlighted by many of the
253 respondents. This list included both the quality of the holistic training process, performed in
254 close cooperation between athletes, coaches and multidisciplinary support teams, as well as
255 better planning, execution, and debriefing routines of single training sessions. One key factor
256 for the latter dimension was more precise and disciplined intensity control, facilitated by
257 greater awareness of how the variables of exercise prescription influence training tolerance and
258 load, as well as better technologies to monitor these features in various conditions. Another
259 example was use of better equipment in training, such as “super shoes” with new-age foams
260 that allow for better cushioning and recovery, thereby facilitating more training at high speeds.
261 Such developments **may also contribute** to narrowing the gap between training prescription and
262 execution.

263

264 Improved training quality was also associated with more individualized training in terms of
265 load prescription, micro-periodization, and daily session programming. For example,
266 implementation of strength and power training based on individual profiling in relation to the
267 physiological and technical requirements of each sport is now much more advanced in sport
268 practice. Such individual profiling, in combination with systematic monitoring of training and
269 testing, provides important objective information concerning how training is executed and the
270 corresponding adaptations. In addition, the role of the coach and multidisciplinary support staff
271 in using such information to prepare and debrief the athlete systematically, as well as how the
272 support staff work synergistically with coaches and athletes,²⁹ were also highlighted as having
273 a positive influence on training quality and performance.

274

275 5) *A more professional and healthier lifestyle*

276

277 Employing a more holistic approach to athlete development, by understanding and considering
278 all factors influencing their lives, has benefited both individual and team-**sport athletes**.³⁰

279 Greater professionalization of many sports has enabled athletes to pursue a full-time athletic
280 career, which can create a healthier lifestyle through enhanced recovery. More knowledge and
281 greater awareness of injury prevention and health management strategies are argued as
282 important for facilitating the continuity and sustainability of training, as well as prolonging the
283 careers of elite athletes.¹⁸ For example, greater knowledge and awareness of the importance of
284 energy availability, periodized and individualized nutrition, and sleep have contributed to
285 improved recovery.³¹ The same paradigm applies to the inclusion of systematic monitoring of
286 recovery parameters such as resting heart rate, heart rate variability, and sleep metrics as part
287 of the monitoring systems. In addition, greater focus on the mental health of athletes³² and
288 coaches³³ was regarded as imperative.

289

290 **Future Trends in Endurance Training**

291

292 The expert panel generally expected the factors underpinning improved endurance training and
293 performance to continue to evolve in the upcoming 10-15 years. However, some perennial
294 aspects of endurance training received particular attention, and a few new aspects were
295 highlighted as key areas for improvement in the future.

296

297 First, more extensive and reliable use of advanced technology for evidence-based monitoring
298 of training, recovery, and performance is expected. Importantly, these technologies and the
299 insights they provide must be combined in a holistic, sport-specific, and integrated fashion with
300 the individual athlete's own developmental needs. This approach will likely allow more
301 effective individualization of training. In this context, artificial intelligence (AI) and its
302 associated opportunities are evolving very quickly and may permit individualized prescription
303 of training; for example, when combined with innovative, non-invasive technologies assessing
304 muscle fibre types and other important individual physiological characteristics. As part of this
305 process, more detailed knowledge about how to precisely use combinations of training loads,
306 environmental stressors and nutritional interventions to optimize physiological adaptations and
307 performance is expected. Furthermore, a more advanced understanding of athlete-equipment
308 interactions leading to greater tolerance of sport-specific training and improved performance
309 is also suggested as a future trend.

310

311 A greater emphasis on the prevention of health problems³⁴ will allow more athletes to train
312 with continuity over longer durations and this is clearly an area with further possibilities for
313 improvement. A greater focus on female athletes also creates opportunities for future
314 improvement,³⁵ especially given the historical lack of knowledge and support that has likely
315 limited performance development and career longevity in this population. Programs designed
316 to prevent injuries, illnesses, Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (REDs) and/or eating
317 disorders and other unhealthy behaviours need to be customized, fine-tuned and implemented
318 broadly. Aspects relating to the preservation of mental health are also expected to receive more
319 attention over the coming years. Overall, a more comprehensive approach to optimizing and
320 maintaining good athlete health should permit more athletes to attain their full potential.

321

322 Finally, the continuous development and adjustment of sport science curriculums within
323 universities and federations in many countries will translate to improved scientific knowledge
324 among coaches, athletes, and practitioners, facilitating **greater** transfer of knowledge within
325 and between multidisciplinary teams.

326

327 **Practical Applications and Conclusions**

328

329 From the perspective of an expert panel of **25** applied sport scientists, this commentary has
330 facilitated the sharing of ideas, experience and knowledge between individuals involved in a
331 variety of endurance sports, research areas, and athletic communities. These insights **are**
332 **summarized in Table 1 and** can serve as a platform and inspiration for developing new
333 hypotheses, encourage future collaboration between researchers and sport practitioners and,
334 perhaps most importantly, stimulate curiosity and fruitful collaborative studies about the
335 training, physiology, **health**, and performance of endurance athletes. It would be highly
336 enlightening to pose these same questions to elite-level athletes, coaches and support staff
337 **within** different sports and nations. Although most of the content in this commentary should be
338 relevant both for Olympic and Paralympic endurance sports, the evolution of para-specific
339 aspects should be further explored in upcoming studies.

340

341

Include Table 1 around here

342

343

344 **Conflicts of interest statement**

345 The first author of this commentary is the Editor-in-Chief of the *International Journal of Sports*
346 *Physiology and Performance*, and several of the authors are associate editors or editorial board
347 members in the journal. Paul Laursen is co-founder of HIIT Science Inc and Athletica Inc. The
348 possibility of publication-bias was discussed critically and evaluated among editors, and none
349 of the authors, including those with editorial roles, had the opportunity to influence the
350 independent review process.

351

352

353

354 **References**

355

- 356 1. Mujika, I, S Halson, LM Burke, G Balagué, and D Farrow, An Integrated,
357 Multifactorial Approach to Periodization for Optimal Performance in Individual and
358 Team Sports. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*, 2018;13(5):538-561.
- 359 2. Sandbakk, Ø, T Haugen, and G Ettema, The Influence of Exercise Modality on Training
360 Load Management. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*, 2021;16(4):605-608.
- 361 3. Sandbakk, Ø, The Role of Sport Science in the New Age of Digital Sport. *Int J Sports*
362 *Physiol Perform*, 2020;15(2):153-153.
- 363 4. Maunder, E, S Seiler, MJ Mildenhall, AE Kilding, and DJ Plews, The Importance of
364 'Durability' in the Physiological Profiling of Endurance Athletes. *Sports Med*,
365 2021;51(1619-1628).

- 366 5. Thomas, DT, KA Erdman, and LM Burke, Position of the Academy of Nutrition and
367 Dietetics, Dietitians of Canada, and the American College of Sports Medicine:
368 Nutrition and Athletic Performance. *J Acad Nutr Diet*, 2016;116(3):501-528.
- 369 6. Stellingwerff, T, JP Morton, and LM Burke, A Framework for Periodized
370 Nutrition for Athletics. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab*, 2019;29(2):141-151.
- 371 7. Jeukendrup, AE, Periodized Nutrition for Athletes. *Sports Med*, 2017;47(Suppl 1):51-
372 63.
- 373 8. Foster, C, JJ de Koning, FJ Hettinga, R Barroso, D Boullosa, A Casado, C Cortis, A
374 Fusco, H Gregorich, and S Jaime, Competition between Desired Competitive Result,
375 Tolerable Homeostatic Disturbance, and Psychophysiological Interpretation
376 Determines Pacing Strategy. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*, 2023;1(aop):1-12.
- 377 9. Muniz-Pardos, B, K Angeloudis, FM Guppy, K Tanisawa, Y Hosokawa, GI Ash, W
378 Schobersberger, AJ Grundstein, F Yamasawa, and S Racinais, Ethical Dilemmas and
379 Validity Issues Related to the Use of New Cooling Technologies and Early Recognition
380 of Exertional Heat Illness in Sport. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med*, 2021;7(2):e001041.
- 381 10. Ash, GI, M Stults-Kolehmainen, MA Busa, AE Gaffey, K Angeloudis, B Muniz-
382 Pardos, R Gregory, RA Huggins, NS Redeker, and SA Weinzimer, Establishing a
383 Global Standard for Wearable Devices in Sport and Exercise Medicine: Perspectives
384 from Academic and Industry Stakeholders. *Sports Med*, 2021;51(11):2237-2250.
- 385 11. van Ingen Schenau, G, The Klapskate: An Example of Intermuscular Coordination. *Eur*
386 *J Morphol*, 1998;36(4-5):269-269.
- 387 12. Hébert-Losier, K and M Pamment, Advancements in Running Shoe Technology and
388 Their Effects on Running Economy and Performance—a Current Concepts Overview.
389 *Sports Biomech*, 2023;22(3):335-350.
- 390 13. Nybo, L, B Rønnestad, and C Lundby, High or Hot—Perspectives on Altitude Camps
391 and Heat-Acclimation Training as Preparation for Prolonged Stage Races. *Scand J Med*
392 *Sci Sports*, 2022
- 393 14. Burke, LM and JA Hawley, Swifter, Higher, Stronger: What’s on the Menu? *Science*,
394 2018;362(6416):781-787.
- 395 15. Hearn, MA, JN Pugh, C Langan-Evans, SJ Mann, L Burke, T Stellingwerff, JT
396 Gonzalez, and JP Morton, 13c-Glucose-Fructose Labeling Reveals Comparable
397 Exogenous Cho Oxidation During Exercise When Consuming 120 G/H in Fluid, Gel,
398 Jelly Chew, or Coingestion. *J Appl Physiol*, 2022;132(6):1394-1406.
- 399 16. Maughan, RJ, LM Burke, J Dvorak, DE Larson-Meyer, P Peeling, SM Phillips, ES
400 Rawson, NP Walsh, I Garthe, and H Geyer, Ioc Consensus Statement: Dietary
401 Supplements and the High-Performance Athlete. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab*,
402 2018;28(2):104-125.
- 403 17. Casado, A, C Foster, M Bakken, and LI Tjelta, Does Lactate-Guided Threshold Interval
404 Training within a High-Volume Low-Intensity Approach Represent the “Next Step” in
405 the Evolution of Distance Running Training? *Int J Environ Res Public Health*,
406 2023;20(5):3782.
- 407 18. Emery, CA and K Pasanen, Current Trends in Sport Injury Prevention. *Best Pract Res*
408 *Clin Rheumatol*, 2019;33(1):3-15.
- 409 19. Impellizzeri, FM, P Menaspà, AJ Coutts, J Kalkhoven, and MJ Menaspà, Training Load
410 and Its Role in Injury Prevention, Part I: Back to the Future. *J Athl Train*,
411 2020;55(9):885-892.
- 412 20. McNulty, KL, KJ Elliott-Sale, E Dolan, PA Swinton, P Ansdell, S Goodall, K Thomas,
413 and KM Hicks, The Effects of Menstrual Cycle Phase on Exercise Performance in
414 Eumenorrheic Women: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Med*,
415 2020;50(1813-1827).

- 416 21. Meignié, A, M Duclos, C Carling, E Orhant, P Provost, J-F Toussaint, and J Antero,
417 The Effects of Menstrual Cycle Phase on Elite Athlete Performance: A Critical and
418 Systematic Review. *Front Physiol*, 2021;12(654585).
- 419 22. Elliott-Sale, KJ, KL McNulty, P Ansdell, S Goodall, KM Hicks, K Thomas, PA
420 Swinton, and E Dolan, The Effects of Oral Contraceptives on Exercise Performance in
421 Women: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Med*, 2020;50(10):1785-
422 1812.
- 423 23. Solli, GS and Ø Sandbakk, Training Characteristics During Pregnancy and Postpartum
424 in the World's Most Successful Cross Country Skier. *Front Physiol*, 2018;9(595).
- 425 24. Darroch, F, A Schneeberg, R Brodie, ZM Ferraro, D Wykes, S Hira, A Giles, KB
426 Adamo, and T Stellingwerff, Impact of Pregnancy in 42 Elite to World-Class Runners
427 on Training and Performance Outcomes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 2022
- 428 25. Wieloch, N, A Klostermann, N Kimmich, J Spörri, and J Scherr, Sport and Exercise
429 Recommendations for Pregnant Athletes: A Systematic Scoping Review. *BMJ Open*
430 *Sport Exerc Med*, 2022;8(4):e001395.
- 431 26. Sundgot-Borgen, J, C Sundgot-Borgen, G Myklebust, N Sølvsberg, and MK Torstveit,
432 Elite Athletes Get Pregnant, Have Healthy Babies and Return to Sport Early
433 Postpartum. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med*, 2019;5(1):e000652.
- 434 27. Mujika, I, AP Sharma, and T Stellingwerff, Contemporary Periodization of Altitude
435 Training for Elite Endurance Athletes: A Narrative Review. *Sports Med*, 2019;49(1651-
436 1669).
- 437 28. Haugen, T, E Tønnessen, SB Sandbakk, and Ø Sandbakk, Training Quality—an
438 Unexplored Domain in Sport Science. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*, 2023;18(3):221-
439 222.
- 440 29. Salcinovic, B, M Drew, P Dijkstra, G Waddington, and BG Serpell, Factors Influencing
441 Team Performance: What Can Support Teams in High-Performance Sport Learn from
442 Other Industries? A Systematic Scoping Review. *Sports Med Open*, 2022;8(1):1-18.
- 443 30. Sperlich, B and H-C Holmberg, The Responses of Elite Athletes to Exercise: An All-
444 Day, 24-H Integrative View Is Required! *Front Physiol*, 2017;8(564).
- 445 31. Fullagar, HH, GE Vincent, M McCullough, S Halson, and P Fowler, Sleep and Sport
446 Performance. *Clin Neurophysiol*, 2023
- 447 32. Reardon, CL, B Hainline, CM Aron, D Baron, AL Baum, A Bindra, R Budgett, N
448 Campriani, JM Castaldelli-Maia, and A Currie, Mental Health in Elite Athletes:
449 International Olympic Committee Consensus Statement (2019). *Br J Sports Med*,
450 2019;53(11):667-699.
- 451 33. Pilkington, V, SM Rice, CC Walton, K Gwyther, L Olive, M Butterworth, M Clements,
452 G Cross, and R Purcell, Prevalence and Correlates of Mental Health Symptoms and
453 Well-Being among Elite Sport Coaches and High-Performance Support Staff. *Sports*
454 *Med Open*, 2022;8(1):89.
- 455 34. Clarsen, B, R Bahr, G Myklebust, SH Andersson, SI Docking, M Drew, CF Finch, LV
456 Fortington, J Harøy, and KM Khan, Improved Reporting of Overuse Injuries and Health
457 Problems in Sport: An Update of the Oslo Sport Trauma Research Center
458 Questionnaires. *Br J Sports Med*, 2020;54(7):390-396.
- 459 35. Santos, AC, TJ Turner, and DK Bycura, Current and Future Trends in Strength and
460 Conditioning for Female Athletes. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 2022;19(5):2687.
- 461