

Changes in meal pattern among Norwegian children from 2001 to 2008

Nina Øverby^{1,*}, Tonje H Stea¹, Frøydis N Vik¹, Knut-Inge Klepp² and Elling Bere¹

¹Department of Public Health, Sport and Nutrition, Faculty of Health and Sport, University of Agder, PO Box 422, 4604 Kristiansand, Norway; ²Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

Submitted 13 April 2010; Accepted 18 November 2010

Abstract

Objective: The present study aimed to analyse changes in meal pattern among Norwegian children from 2001 to 2008 in general; to analyse associations between meal pattern and gender, parental educational level and number of parents in the household; and to analyse the association between intake of unhealthy snacks, meal pattern and the mentioned variables.

Design: Within the Fruits and Vegetables Make the Marks (FVMM) project, two cross-sectional studies were conducted, one in 2001 and one in 2008, where participants from the same schools filled in a questionnaire on meals eaten the previous day.

Subjects: Participants were 6th and 7th grade pupils, *n* 1488 in 2001 and *n* 1339 in 2008.

Setting: Twenty-seven elementary schools in two Norwegian counties.

Results: There were no significant changes in children's meal pattern from 2001 to 2008. For both years more than 90% of the participants reported that they had breakfast yesterday, while 95% had lunch, 94% had dinner and 82% had supper. More girls than boys reported that they had lunch yesterday (96% *v.* 94%, *P* = 0.03). More children with higher *v.* lower educated parents reported that they had breakfast yesterday (93% *v.* 88%, *P* < 0.001). More children living with two parents *v.* one parent had breakfast (93% *v.* 88%, *P* = 0.001) and lunch yesterday (97% *v.* 93%, *P* < 0.001).

Conclusions: There were no changes in meal pattern from 2001 to 2008 among Norwegian children. Characteristics associated with skipping meals were living in a one-parent family and having lower educated parents.

Keywords
Breakfast
Cohort
Children
Meal pattern

In recent years there has been an increased focus on meal pattern among children and adolescents^(1–4). First, there has been much interest in meal pattern in relation to health status⁽¹⁾. Special attention has been given to the importance of eating breakfast, which has been associated with a multitude of positive health benefits, especially an association with body weight. Breakfast skipping among young people has been shown to be associated with an increased likelihood of being overweight or obese^(5–8) and having higher BMI^(9,10). A recently published study among Norwegian children showed increased odds of being overweight when fewer than four meals were eaten the previous day⁽¹¹⁾.

Second, studies from the 1980s to today have described a distinct change in meal pattern in children and adolescents, more than observed in the adult population^(2,3,12). These changes vary widely from one region to the other⁽¹²⁾. Generally, information about children's and adolescents' meal frequency is scarce. However, in Europe

an increased prevalence of irregular meal patterns has been reported. In Norway it has been reported that 15% of healthy children and adolescents skip breakfast and 30% skip lunch twice weekly or more⁽¹³⁾. In the USA the prominent trend is an increase in snacking events between meals⁽¹²⁾.

A third focus on meal pattern has concerned the importance of how and with whom meals are eaten. Family meals (eating together as a family with one or two parents present) appear to be associated with a variety of positive health behaviours in childhood and adolescence⁽¹⁴⁾. An American 10-year longitudinal study of black and white girls aged 9–19 years suggested that eating together as a family during childhood may have multiple health benefits in later years, such as fewer eating disorders, lower alcohol and tobacco consumption and less extreme weight-control behaviours⁽¹⁵⁾.

Knowing the probable health benefits of having regular meals and that eating patterns established during adolescence

*Corresponding author: Email nina.c.Overby@uia.no

shape diet later in life⁽¹⁶⁾, an analysis of changes in meal pattern and factors associated with meal patterns in children is important. In Norway breakfast is usually sandwiches or cereals, school lunch is usually packed sandwiches, while dinner is a hot meal, and supper is often sandwiches or cereals. Many Norwegians have supper, most probably because they have an early dinner (16.00–18.00 hours) which is not all common in other European countries⁽¹⁷⁾.

The present study aimed to analyse changes in meal pattern in Norwegian children from 2001 to 2008 in general; to analyse associations between meal pattern and gender, parental educational level and number of parents in the household; and to analyse the association between intake of unhealthy snacks, meal pattern and the mentioned variables.

Methods

Sample and procedure

The current study is a part of the Fruits and Vegetables Make the Marks (FVMM) project. In 2001, forty-eight schools from Hedmark and Telemark counties (twenty-four schools in each county) were randomly selected and invited to participate in the project FVMM, and thirty-eight agreed to participate. All 6th and 7th graders (age 10–12 years) in these thirty-eight schools were invited to take part in a questionnaire survey. These thirty-eight schools were contacted again in 2008, and were invited to participate once more in a similar survey. At that time twenty-seven schools agreed to participate, and all 6th and 7th graders in these twenty-seven schools were invited to participate. Pupils from the twenty-seven schools, from both 2001 and 2008, constitute the study sample of the present study (Table 1)⁽¹⁸⁾. Further details on the sampling process are available elsewhere⁽¹⁸⁾. Eleven schools that participated in 2001 did not participate in 2008. In a previously reported attrition analysis, no significant differences between the present study sample from the twenty-seven schools participating in both the 2001 and 2008 surveys and pupils at the eleven schools that participated in 2001 but not in 2008 were observed regarding sex, parental education level, and fruit and vegetable intake at school and all day⁽¹⁸⁾.

During both data collections (in 2001 and 2008), the same questionnaire was completed by the children in the presence of a trained project worker in the classroom. One school lesson (45 min) was used to complete the questionnaire. The present study sample includes in total 2827 children (1488 in 2001 and 1339 children in 2008) out of 3439 eligible (participation rate 82.2%). The main reason why children did not participate in the study was absence from school on the survey day. The participation rates for parents were 83% in 2001 and 74% in 2008 (Table 1). Table 1 gives a description of the participants

and shows that there were no differences in numbers living with one parent between 2001 and 2008. The parents participating in 2008 had higher education than those participating in 2001 ($P < 0.001$; Table 1). This is similar to what has been seen in Norway in general during the past 10 years; that there is an increased part of the population having high education⁽¹⁹⁾.

Research clearance was obtained from the Norwegian Social Science Data Services. Written informed consent was obtained from parents and children prior to participation in the study.

The questionnaire included questions about meals wherein the participants were asked if they had breakfast, lunch, dinner or supper the previous day. The question was answered 'yes' or 'no'. A sum score was made including if they had breakfast, lunch, dinner or supper yesterday, ranging from 0 to 4 meals yesterday. This was further dichotomized into having fewer than 4 meals per day and having 4 meals per day. This variable is called 'all meals'. Another sum score of unhealthy snacks was made from the following three items from an FFQ: 'How often do you drink soda (including sugar)?', 'How often do you eat candy (e.g. chocolate, mixed candy)' and 'How often do you eat potato chips?' All items had ten response alternatives ('never', 'less than once a week', 'once a week', 'twice a week', ..., 'six times a week', 'every day', 'several times every day'), and they were scored (0, 0.5, 1, 2, ..., 6, 7, 10), giving the unhealthy snack scale a range from 0 to 30 times/week.

Further, the children filled in questions about gender and number of parents in the household. Number of parents in the household was assessed by: 'Tick the alternative showing the persons living at home with you'. Response alternatives were mother, father, stepmother and stepfather. Participants could tick more than one alternative if they lived with two parents. If parents were separated, the participants were supposed to tick the alternative showing the parent they live with most of the time. All responses were added and, eventually, dichotomized into living with one parent or living with two parents. Parental educational level was assessed individually in a questionnaire filled in by the parents, with one question: 'What level of education do you have?' The question had four response alternatives: 'elementary school', 'high school', 'college or university' (3 years or less) and 'college or university' (more than 3 years). This variable was dichotomized into lower (no college or university education) and higher (having attended college or university).

Statistical analysis

Differences in gender, parental education, number of parents in the household and meal pattern between 2001 and 2008 were analysed by χ^2 tests (Table 1). Crude and adjusted data were analysed by different multilevel linear-mixed models with breakfast, lunch, dinner, supper and

Table 1 Description of participants included in 2001 and 2008: 6th and 7th grade pupils from twenty-seven elementary schools in two Norwegian counties

	2001 (n 1488)	2008 (n 1339)	χ^2	P value
Number of schools	27	27		
Eligible pupils	1727	1712		
Participating pupils (total)	1488	1339		
Participation rate of pupils (%)	86	78		
Age of pupils (% 7th graders)	47	49	0.47	0.50
Gender (%)	n 1486	n 1314		
Male	50	48		
Female	50	52	1.60	0.21
Parents in household (%)	n 1442	n 1286		
Single-parent family	19	20		
Two-parent family	81	80	0.13	0.72
Meal pattern (%)				
Breakfast	n 1478	n 1328		
Yes	91	91		
No	9	9	0.02	0.88
Lunch	n 1473	n 1322		
Yes	95	96		
No	5	4	0.23	0.64
Dinner	n 1468	n 1324		
Yes	94	94		
No	6	6	0.27	0.60
Supper	n 1477	n 1329		
Yes	83	82		
No	18	18	0.01	0.92
All meals (total of all 4 meals)	n 1438	n 1288		
Yes	69	72		
No	31	28	2.23	0.13
Participating parents	1230	996		
Participation rate of parents (%)	83	74		
Parental education (%)	n 1210	n 984		
Low	58	46		
High	42	54	27.92	<0.001

all meals as the dependent variables. Crude results are only commented upon in the text (data not shown in any table). Relevant confounders were chosen according to the literature, and all adjusted models (Table 2) included gender, parental education, number of parents in the household, time of data collection and grade. In all adjusted models the following interaction terms were included: time \times gender, time \times parental education and time \times number of parents in the household. This was done to assess possible interaction between time and the examined variables. Results presented in the text regarding unhealthy snacks (data not shown in any table) were analysed by a multilevel linear-mixed model with unhealthy snacks as the dependent variable, and adjusted for all meals, gender, parental education, number of parents in the household, time of data collection and grade. All models were also adjusted for school as a random effect. Accepted significant level was set at $P < 0.05$. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical software package version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Results from the present study showed that there were no significant changes in children's meal pattern from 2001

to 2008. For both years more than 90% of the participants reported that they had eaten breakfast yesterday, while approximately 95% had eaten lunch, 94% had eaten dinner, 82% had eaten supper and about 70% had eaten all four meals (Table 1).

All of the specific meals were analysed according to gender, parental education, number of parents in the household and study year and further adjusted for grade. No significant interactions were observed between time and gender (breakfast: $P = 0.88$, lunch: $P = 0.91$, dinner: $P = 0.31$, supper: $P = 0.08$, all meals: $P = 0.15$), parental education (breakfast: $P = 0.69$, lunch: $P = 0.84$, dinner: $P = 0.16$, supper: $P = 0.90$, all meals: $P = 0.75$) or number of parents in the household (breakfast: $P = 0.74$, lunch: $P = 0.50$, dinner: $P = 0.40$, supper: $P = 0.79$, all meals: $P = 0.78$), meaning that the associations between meal patterns and examined variables have not changed from 2001 to 2008; therefore the further results are presented for 2001 and 2008 together (Table 2).

In the unadjusted analysis more girls than boys reported that they had eaten lunch (effect size: 2.4 (95% CI 0.9, 3.9) percentage points) the previous day, and fewer girls than boys had eaten supper (effect size: -3.8 (95% CI -6.6, -1.0) percentage points). However after adjusting for relevant confounders, only the relationship that more

Table 2 Meal pattern in children from 2001 and 2008 according to gender, parental education, number of parents in the household and year the study was performed*: 6th and 7th grade pupils from twenty-seven elementary schools in two Norwegian counties

	n	Breakfast			Lunch			Dinner			Supper			All meals†		
		Prevalence	95% CI*	P*	Prevalence	95% CI*	P*	Prevalence	95% CI*	P*	Prevalence	95% CI*	P*	Prevalence	95% CI*	P*
Gender (%)																
Boy	1378	91	89, 93		94	92, 95		93	92, 95		82	79, 86		69	65, 73	
Girl	1422	90	89, 92	0.86	96	94, 97	0.03	95	93, 97	0.19	79	76, 83	0.11	68	64, 73	0.84
Mean difference (boy – girl)		-0.2	-2.5, 2.1		1.8	0.1, 3.4		1.3	-0.6, 3.3		-2.7	-5.9, 0.6		-0.4	-4.3, 3.5	
Parental education (%)																
Low	1156	88	87, 90		95	94, 97		93	92, 95		79	76, 83		66	62, 70	
High	1038	93	91, 95	<0.001	94	93, 96	0.39	95	93, 97	0.15	82	79, 86	0.07	71	67, 75	0.03
Mean difference (low – high)		4.2	1.9, 6.5		-0.7	-2.4, 0.9		1.5	-0.5, 3.5		3.0	-0.2, 6.5		4.7	0.8, 8.7	
No. of parents in household (%)																
1	531	88	85, 91		93	91, 95		94	91, 96		79	74, 84		65	60, 71	
>1	2197	93	92, 95	0.001	97	95, 98	<0.001	94	93, 96	0.78	83	80, 86	0.10	72	68, 75	0.02
Mean difference (1 – >1)		5.1	2.1, 8.2		4.0	1.8, 6.1		0.4	-2.2, 3.0		3.6	-0.7, 7.9		6.2	1.1, 11.3	
Year (%)																
2001	1488	91	89, 93		95	93, 96		94	92, 96		81	77, 85		68	64, 72	
2008	1339	90	88, 93	0.66	95	93, 97	0.73	94	92, 96	0.74	81	77, 85	0.93	69	65, 74	0.61
Mean difference (2001 – 2008)		-0.7	-3.7, 2.3		0.4	-1.8, 2.5		-0.4	-3.1, 2.2		0.2	-4.5, 4.1		1.4	-3.8, 6.5	

*Multilevel linear mixed models adjusted for grade and all variables presented for each model.

†All meals' includes a sum score of whether breakfast, lunch, dinner and supper was eaten yesterday. Variable is dichotomized into having fewer than 4 meals per day and having 4 meals per day.

girls than boys had eaten lunch yesterday (effect size: 1.8 (95% CI 0.1, 3.4) percentage points) remained significant (Table 2). Unadjusted and adjusted relationships were otherwise similar, and in the following the results from the adjusted models (Table 2) are presented. More children with higher educated parents had eaten breakfast *v.* those with lower educated parents (effect size: 4.2 (95% CI 1.9, 6.5) percentage points). Furthermore, more children living with two parents had eaten breakfast (effect size: 5.1 (95% CI 2.1, 8.2) percentage points) and lunch (effect size: 4.0 (95% CI 1.8, 6.1) percentage points) *v.* those living with single parents. There were no significant differences in the number of children eating dinner or supper regarding gender, parental education, number of parents in the household or study year (Table 2). Further, analysing the sum score of all meals, more children with higher educated parents than lower educated parents (effect size: 4.7 (95% CI 0.8, 8.7) percentage points) and more children living with two parents than living with one parent (effect size: 6.2 (95% CI 1.1, 11.3) percentage points) had all four meals yesterday (Table 2). There were no significant differences by gender or study year analysing the sum score of all meals (Table 2).

Crude data showed that those having 4 meals yesterday had a lower intake of unhealthy snacks *v.* those who had fewer meals (5.7 times/week *v.* 6.3 times/week, $P=0.001$); however when adjusting for gender, grade, parental education, number of parents in household and study year, the difference was not significant (5.5 times/week *v.* 5.9 times/week, $P=0.06$).

Discussion

No significant changes in meal pattern were observed in Norwegian children between 2001 and 2008. Few studies have described changes in meal pattern over time in the same geographic and age population as the present study. One study that has, the Bogalusa Heart Study, included 1584 children aged 10 years from 1973 to 1994; it described changes in meal patterns over time and reported different trends, including an increased number of breakfast skippers⁽²⁾. A review of more recently conducted studies from European countries has described meal changes among children and adolescents as going from regular meals to skipping main meals⁽³⁾. The general trend in higher-income countries is an increased number of snacks and meals eaten away from home, and more consumption of fast food and energy-containing beverages⁽¹²⁾. The increased focus on the importance of regular meals may positively have influenced the finding of no changes in meal patterns during 8 years in the present study. Breakfast represents a healthy habit and associations with positive health outcomes have been widely described⁽²⁰⁾, ranging from reduced prevalence of overweight⁽²¹⁾ via improved dietary quality⁽¹⁾ to

improved school performance⁽²²⁾. The relationship between overweight and meal frequency is still debated; some have found that skipping meals yields higher odds of being overweight^(5,11,21), while others have not detected significant associations⁽²⁾.

Among the children living with only one parent, there were more breakfast and lunch skippers compared with those living with two parents. The literature on family correlates of children's and adolescents' breakfast consumption has recently been reviewed by Pearson and co-workers⁽²³⁾. The review showed that living in two-parent families and parental breakfast eating were among the social factors that play a prominent role in adolescents' breakfast habits⁽²³⁾. The first association is in accordance with the results of the present study. Most studies have explored family correlates only in relation to breakfast consumption. However, in the present study we found that living in a one-parent family also was related to skipping lunch. Stewart and Menning described that children in non-traditional family households (single parent, step-parent, no parent) are more likely to display unhealthy eating habits such as skipping breakfast and lunch⁽²⁴⁾. A possible explanation for these findings could be that single-parent families, wherein the parent is also in employment, have less time to shop and prepare meals than two-parent families. Further it might be more difficult to be present in the morning when children have breakfast. Recent research has shown that having at least one parent present in the morning substantially increased the likelihood of eating breakfast among adolescents⁽⁴⁾. In addition, research showed that having family meals in itself is related to improved dietary profiles⁽²⁵⁾. Another study showed that the effect of family meals provides a lasting positive influence into young adulthood⁽¹⁶⁾. The relationship between meal skipping and one-parent families has important implications for the development and implementation of effective nutrition interventions in high-risk groups.

In the present study, children with higher educated parents reported to have breakfast more often than those with parents with lower education. This relationship is also discussed in the literature; however, in the mentioned review by Pearson and co-workers, breakfast consumption was not related to parental education in five of eight samples and positively associated with breakfast consumption in three samples⁽²³⁾. The HELENA (Healthy Lifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in Adolescence) study, which was not included in the mentioned review, found a significant influence of socio-economic status on breakfast habits, as the percentage of adolescents having 'adequate' breakfast increased as socio-economic status increased⁽²⁶⁾.

More boys than girls were lunch skippers; however the difference was rather small (2 percentage points). These results are in accordance with results from the HELENA study where girls had significantly higher mean frequencies of meals daily than boys⁽¹²⁾. However most studies find that girls skip meals more often than boys^(12,27-29).

In general, studies report that boys have diets lower in fruits and vegetables⁽³⁰⁾ and higher in sugar-sweetened soft drinks⁽³¹⁾ compared with girls. The trend of skipping meals will add to an already negative dietary pattern for boys.

Some studies report that those who skip meals have a higher intake of energy-dense food and unhealthy snacks⁽¹⁾. In the present study, crude data showed that those who had skipped one or more meals the previous day had a higher intake of unhealthy snacks. However, this relationship was not present when adjusting for parental education, gender and study year.

Strengths and limitations of the study

The present study comprises two cross-sectional surveys in a well-defined population and includes a high number of participants, covering a time span from 2001 to 2008 from the same region.

Limiting the results of the study is the dietary methodology. Studies have shown that one 24 h recall does not characterize an individual's typical meal pattern, but may be sufficient for characterizing meal habits of large groups of children⁽³²⁾, as presented herein. A further limitation of the study is the definition of meal pattern by asking only one question for each meal that is answered yes or no. Data being self-reported is another limitation. The questions regarding meal pattern have not been validated. However, a validity and reproducibility study has been conducted among Norwegian 6th graders for other variables in the questionnaire such as intake of fruit and vegetables⁽³³⁾. That study showed that both the 24 h recall part and the frequency part gave consistent responses on separate occasions in the test-retest study. Moreover, the 6th graders were capable of recording yesterday's intake of vegetables, but overestimated intake of fruit and juice. Also, the snacking variable is limited since some potential snacks, such as cookies, nuts and juices with added sugar, are not included. Another limitation is that the participating pupils were from only two of Norway's nineteen counties and no large cities are situated in these two counties. On the other hand, Oslo is the only large city in Norway (>250 000 inhabitants) and as Norway in general is a rather homogeneous country, we believe the results are likely to be generalizable to the other counties as well.

Conclusions

The current study shows a stable meal pattern from 2000 to 2008 among Norwegian children. Characteristics associated with skipping meals were living in a one-parent family, having parents with low education and being a boy. The results are important for health promoting strategies, which should give special attention to single-parent families.

Acknowledgements

The FVMM project is funded by the Research Council of Norway. The authors declare that they have no competing interests. K.-I.K. conceived the FVMM project. E.B. and K.-I.K. designed the present study. N.Ø., T.H.S. and E.B. analysed and interpreted the data. N.Ø., T.H.S. and F.N.V. drafted the manuscript, and E.B. and K.-I.K. revised it critically. All authors have approved the final version of the manuscript.

References

- Rampersaud GC, Pereira MA, Girard BL *et al.* (2005) Breakfast habits, nutritional status, body weight, and academic performance in children and adolescents. *J Am Diet Assoc* **105**, 743–760.
- Nicklas TA, Morales M, Linares A *et al.* (2004) Children's meal patterns have changed over a 21-year period: the Bogalusa Heart Study. *J Am Diet Assoc* **104**, 753–761.
- Samuelson G (2000) Dietary habits and nutritional status in adolescents over Europe. An overview of current studies in the Nordic countries. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **54**, Suppl. 1, S21–S28.
- Merten MJ, Williams AL & Shriver LH (2009) Breakfast consumption in adolescence and young adulthood: parental presence, community context, and obesity. *J Am Diet Assoc* **109**, 1384–1391.
- Andersen LF, Lillegaard IT, Overby N *et al.* (2005) Overweight and obesity among Norwegian schoolchildren: changes from 1993 to 2000. *Scand J Public Health* **33**, 99–106.
- Croezen S, Visscher TL, Ter Bogt NC *et al.* (2009) Skipping breakfast, alcohol consumption and physical inactivity as risk factors for overweight and obesity in adolescents: results of the E-MOVO project. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **63**, 405–412.
- Dubois L, Girard M & Potvin KM (2006) Breakfast eating and overweight in a pre-school population: is there a link? *Public Health Nutr* **9**, 436–442.
- Groholt EK, Stigum H & Nordhagen R (2008) Overweight and obesity among adolescents in Norway: cultural and socio-economic differences. *J Public Health (Oxf)* **30**, 258–265.
- Barton BA, Eldridge AL, Thompson D *et al.* (2005) The relationship of breakfast and cereal consumption to nutrient intake and body mass index: the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Growth and Health Study. *J Am Diet Assoc* **105**, 1383–1389.
- Delva J, Johnston LD & O'Malley PM (2007) The epidemiology of overweight and related lifestyle behaviors: racial/ethnic and socioeconomic status differences among American youth. *Am J Prev Med* **33**, 4 Suppl., S178–S186.
- Vik FN, Øverby NC, Lien N *et al.* (2010) Number of meals eaten in relation to weight status among Norwegian adolescents. *Scand J Public Health* **38**, Suppl. 5, 13–18.
- Moreno LA, Rodriguez G, Fleta J *et al.* (2010) Trends of dietary habits in adolescents. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* **50**, 106–112.
- Overby NC, Margeirsdottir HD, Brunborg C *et al.* (2008) Sweets, snacking habits, and skipping meals in children and adolescents on intensive insulin treatment. *Pediatr Diabetes* **9**, 393–400.
- Mamun AA, Lawlor DA, O'Callaghan MJ *et al.* (2005) Positive maternal attitude to the family eating together decreases the risk of adolescent overweight. *Obes Res* **13**, 1422–1430.
- Franko DL, Thompson D, Affenito SG *et al.* (2008) What mediates the relationship between family meals and adolescent health issues? *Health Psychol* **27**, 2 Suppl., S109–S117.
- Larson NI, Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ *et al.* (2007) Family meals during adolescence are associated with higher diet quality and healthful meal patterns during young adulthood. *J Am Diet Assoc* **107**, 1502–1510.
- Nordic Council of Ministers (2004) Eating pattern. In *Nordic Nutrition Recommendations 2004: Integrating Nutrition and Physical Activity*, pp. 85–101. Copenhagen: Nordic Council of Ministers.
- Bere E, Hilsen N & Klepp K-I (2010) Effect of the nationwide free school fruit scheme in Norway. *Br J Nutr* **104**, 589–594.
- Statistics Norway (2010) Education statistics. Students at universities and colleges, 1 October 2009. http://www.ssb.no/english/subjects/04/02/40/utuvh_en/ (accessed December 2010).
- Agostoni C & Brighenti F (2010) Dietary choices for breakfast in children and adolescents. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* **50**, 120–128.
- Wurbach A, Zellner K & Kromeyer-Hauschild K (2009) Meal patterns among children and adolescents and their associations with weight status and parental characteristics. *Public Health Nutr* **12**, 1115–1121.
- Hoyland A, Dye L & Lawton CL (2009) A systematic review of the effect of breakfast on the cognitive performance of children and adolescents. *Nutr Res Rev* **22**, 220–243.
- Pearson N, Biddle SJ & Gorely T (2009) Family correlates of breakfast consumption among children and adolescents. A systematic review. *Appetite* **52**, 1–7.
- Stewart SD & Menning CL (2009) Family structure, nonresident father involvement, and adolescent eating patterns. *J Adolesc Health* **45**, 193–201.
- Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ, Story M *et al.* (2003) Family meal patterns: associations with sociodemographic characteristics and improved dietary intake among adolescents. *J Am Diet Assoc* **103**, 317–322.
- Moreno LA, Kersting M, de Henauw S *et al.* (2005) How to measure dietary intake and food habits in adolescence: the European perspective. *Int J Obes (Lond)* **29**, Suppl. 2, S66–S77.
- Story M, Neumark-Sztainer D & French S (2002) Individual and environmental influences on adolescent eating behaviors. *J Am Diet Assoc* **102**, 3 Suppl., S40–S51.
- Sjoberg A, Hallberg L, Högglund D *et al.* (2003) Meal pattern, food choice, nutrient intake and lifestyle factors in The Goteborg Adolescence Study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **57**, 1569–1578.
- Siega-Riz AM, Popkin BM & Carson T (1998) Trends in breakfast consumption for children in the United States from 1965–1991. *Am J Clin Nutr* **67**, 748S–756S.
- Rasmussen M, Krolner R, Klepp KI *et al.* (2006) Determinants of fruit and vegetable consumption among children and adolescents: a review of the literature. Part I: Quantitative studies. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* **3**, 22.
- Bere E, Glomnes ES, te Velde SJ *et al.* (2008) Determinants of adolescents' soft drink consumption. *Public Health Nutr* **11**, 49–56.
- Thompson FE & Byers T (1994) Dietary assessment resource manual. *J Nutr* **124**, 11 Suppl., 2245S–2317S.
- Andersen LF, Bere E, Kolbjørnsen N *et al.* (2004) Validity and reproducibility of self-reported intake of fruit and vegetable among 6th graders. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **58**, 771–777.